arXiv:1109.0613v2 [math.QA] 12 Jun 2012

THE C-FUNCTION EXPANSION OF A BASIC HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION ASSOCIATED TO ROOT SYSTEMS

J.V. STOKMAN

Abstract. We derive an explicit c-function expansion of a basic hypergeometric function associated to root systems. The basic hypergeometric function in question was constructed as explicit series expansion in symmetric Macdonald polynomials by Cherednik in case the associated twisted affine root system is reduced. Its construction was extended to the nonreduced case by the author. It is a meromorphic Weyl group invariant solution of the spectral problem of the Macdonald q-difference operators. The c-function expansion is its explicit expansion in terms of the basis of the space of meromorphic solutions of the spectral problem consisting of q-analogs of the Harish-Chandra series. We express the expansion coefficients in terms of a q-analog of the Harish-Chandra c-function, which is explicitly given as product of q-Gamma functions. The c-function expansion shows that the basic hypergeometric function formally is a q-analog of the Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric function, which in turn specializes to elementary spherical functions on noncompact Riemannian symmetric spaces for special values of the parameters.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The basic hypergeometric function 5
3. Basic Harish-Chandra series 18
4. The c-function expansion 26
5. Special cases and applications 32
References 39

1. Introduction

In this paper we establish the c-function expansion of a basic hypergeometric function $\mathcal{E}_+$ associated to root systems. Besides the base q, the basic hypergeometric function $\mathcal{E}_+$ depends on a choice of a multiplicity function k on an affine root system naturally associated to the underlying based root data. It will become apparent from the c-function expansion that $\mathcal{E}_+$ formally is a q-analog of the Heckman-Opdam [15, 16, 34] hypergeometric function, which in turn reduces to the elementary spherical functions on noncompact Riemannian symmetric spaces for special parameter values. We distinguish three important subclasses of the theory: the reduced case, the GL_m case and the nonreduced case.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33D45, 33D52, 33D80.

In the reduced case $\mathcal{E}_+$ is Cherednik's global spherical function from [5, 7, 8], or a reductive extension thereof. It is a Weyl group invariant, meromorphic, selfdual common eigenfunction of the Macdonald q-difference operators, constructed as an explicit convergent series involving symmetric Macdonald polynomials and Gaussians. In the rank one case $\mathcal{E}_+$ can be identified with an explicit basic hypergeometric series solution of Heine's q-hypergeometric q-difference equation (see Subsection 5.2).

The GL_m case is a special case of the reduced case with the underlying root system of type A_{m-1}. It is of special interest since it relates to Ruijsenaars' [36] relativistic quantum hyperbolic Calogero-Moser model. In fact, the associated Macdonald q-difference operators were first written down by Ruijsenaars [36] as the corresponding quantum Hamiltonians.

In the nonreduced case the associated affine root system is the nonreduced affine root system of type $C^\vee C_n$. The multiplicity function k now comprises five degrees of freedoms (four if the rank n is equal to one). The associated basic hypergeometric function $\mathcal{E}_+$ was constructed in [42]. Duality of $\mathcal{E}_+$ involves now a nontrivial transformation of the multiplicity k to a dual multiplicity function k^d (we use the convention that the dual multiplicity function k^d equals k in the reduced case). The associated Macdonald q-difference operators include Koornwinder's [23] multivariable extension of the Askey-Wilson [1] second-order q-difference operator. It is the nonreduced case which is expected to be amenable to generalizations to the elliptic level, cf. [35].

The basic Harish-Chandra series $\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(\cdot,\gamma)$ with base point given by a torus element η is a meromorphic common eigenfunction of the Macdonald q-difference operators having a converging series expansion of the form

$$\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(t,\gamma)=\widehat{W}_\eta(t,\gamma)\sum_{\mu\in Q_+}\Gamma_\mu(\gamma)t^{-\mu},\qquad \Gamma_0(\gamma)=1$$

deep in an appropriate asymptotic sector, where Q_+ consists of the elements in the root lattice that can be written as sum of positive roots. The prefactor $\widehat{W}_\eta(t,\gamma)$ is an explicit quotient of theta functions satisfying the asymptotic Macdonald q-difference equations (see Subsection 3.3). It is normalized such that it reduces to the natural choice (3.7) of the prefactor when restricting t to the q-lattice containing $\eta\gamma_{0,d}$ (here γ_0^{-1} and $\gamma_{0,d}^{-1}$ are the torus elements associated to the k-and k^d-deformation of the half sum of positive roots respectively, cf. (2.3)). For the construction of the basic Harish-Chandra series $\widehat{\Phi}_\eta$ we follow closely [31, 30].

Let W_0 be the Weyl group of the underlying finite root system. The space of common meromorphic eigenfunctions of the Macdonald q-difference operators has, for generic γ, the W_0-translates $\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(\cdot,w\gamma)$ ($w\in W_0$) as a linear basis over the field of quasiconstants (this follows from combining and extending [31, Cor. 5.14], [30, Rem. 5.13] and [43, Thm. 5.16]). Hence, for generic γ, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma)=\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma_0)^{-1}\sum_{w\in W_0}\widehat{c}_\eta(w\gamma)\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(t,w\gamma) \tag{1.1}$$

for a unique coefficient $\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma)$, quasiconstant in t. The particular choice of $\widehat{W}_\eta$ in Subsection 3.3 actually renders the coefficient $\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma)$ independent of t. We will call (1.1) the (monic form of) the c-function expansion of $\mathcal{E}_+$. We will prove the following explicit expression

$$\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma) = \frac{\vartheta((w_0\eta)^{-1}\xi\gamma)}{\vartheta(\xi\gamma)} c_{k^d,q}(\gamma)$$

for the expansion coefficient, where w_0 is the longest Weyl group element, $\vartheta(\cdot)$ is the theta-function (2.7) associated to the given root data, ξ is an explicit torus element depending on the multiplicity function k (see Corollary 4.7 for the explicit expression of ξ; in the reduced case it is the unit element 1 of the complex torus) and $c_{k^d,q}(\gamma)$ (see (4.9)) is "half" of the inverse of the dual weight function of the associated symmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials.

The factor $c_{k,q}(\cdot)$ admits an explicitly expression in terms of q-shifted factorials (equivalently, in terms of q-Gamma functions), see (4.10) in the reduced case and (4.11) in the nonreduced case. This product formula of $c_{k^d,q}(\gamma)$ is a q-analog of the Gindikin-Karpelevic [12] product formula of the Harish-Chandra c-function as well as of its extension to the Heckman-Opdam theory (see [16, Part I, Def. 3.4.2]). The appearance of $c_{k^d,q}(\gamma)$ in the expression of $\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma)$ will follow from the observation (due to Cherednik [7, §4.2] in the reduced case) that $c_{k^d,q}(\gamma)$ is the leading asymptotics of a suitable renormalization of the basic hypergeometric function $\mathcal{E}_+(\cdot,\gamma)$.

Note that for $\eta = 1$ the theta function factors in the expression for $\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma)$ cancel out. The c-function expansion (1.1) thus simplifies to

$$\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma) = c_{k^d,q}(\gamma_0)^{-1} \sum_{w\in W_0} c_{k^d,q}(w\gamma)\widehat{\Phi}_1(t,w\gamma). \tag{1.2}$$

Comparing this formula for t on the q-lattice containing $\gamma_{0,d}$ to the c-function expansion [16, Part I, Def. 4.4.1] of the Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric function, it is apparent that $\mathcal{E}_+$ is formally a q-analog of the Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric function. The corresponding classical limit $q \to 1$ can be made rigorous if the underlying finite root system is of type A_1, see [22]. In this paper we will not touch upon making the limit rigorous in general, see though [7, Thm. 4.5] for further results in this direction.

It seems though to be important to consider the c-function expansion for arbitrary η. For instance, in the rank one nonreduced case, a selfdual Fourier transform with Fourier kernel $\mathcal{E}_+$ and with (Plancherel) density

$$\mu_\eta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma)\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma^{-1})}$$

was defined and studied in [20, 21]. The extra theta function contributions in $\mu_\eta(\gamma)$ compared to the usual weight function $\mu_1(\gamma)$ of the associated Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials (which, in the present nonreduced rank one setup, are the Askey-Wilson [1] polynomials) give rise to an infinite sequence of discrete mass points in the associated

(Plancherel) measure. In the interpretation as (the inverse of) a spherical Fourier transform on the quantum $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$ group these mass points account for the contributions of the strange series representations of the quantized universal enveloping algebra (see [20]).

We derive the c-function expansion (1.1) as a consequence of a more refined asymptotic expansion of $\mathcal{E}_+$. More precisely, we look for asymptotic expansions of $\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma)$ within the solution space of a bispectral problem associated to Macdonald q-difference operators, in which dual Macdonald q-difference equations acting on γ are added to the original Macdonald q-difference equations acting on t. The basic hypergeometric function $\mathcal{E}_+$ is a solution of the bispectral problem because of selfduality,

$$\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma)=\mathcal{E}_{+,d}(\gamma^{-1},t^{-1}),$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{+,d}$ is the basic hypergeometric function with respect to the dual k^d of the multiplicity function k (the underlying root system is unchanged since we are dealing with twisted theory). We derive the c-function expansion (1.1) as a consequence of an explicit expansion of $\mathcal{E}_+$ in terms of a selfdual basic Harish-Chandra series solution Φ of the bispectral problem. It is of the form

$$\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma)=\sum_{w\in W_0}\mathfrak{c}(t,w\gamma)\Phi(t,w\gamma) \tag{1.3}$$

with $\mathfrak{c}(t,\gamma)$ a meromorphic function, quasiconstant in both t and γ, given explicity in terms of theta functions (see Theorem 4.6).

To establish this bispectral version of the c-function expansion we use Cherednik's [6] double affine Hecke algebra, as well as the theory on bispectral quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) equations from [31, 30]. We use that $\mathcal{E}_+$ is the Hecke algebra symmetrization of a nonsymmetric analog $\mathcal{E}$ of the basic hypergeometric function, whose fundamental property is an explicit transformation rule relating the action of the double affine Hecke algebra on the first torus variable to the action of the double affine Hecke algebra on the second torus variable (this goes back to [5] in the reduced case and [42] in the nonreduced case). The Hecke algebra symmetrizer acting on such functions factorizes as $\phi\circ\psi$ with ψ mapping into the space $\mathcal{K}^{W_0\times W_0}$ of Weyl group invariant meromorphic solutions of the bispectral quantum KZ equations. The map ϕ is the Cherednik-Matsuo map from [4]. Thus the basic hypergeometric function $\mathcal{E}_+$ is the image under ϕ of the Weyl group invariant meromorphic solution $\psi(\mathcal{E})\in\mathcal{K}^{W_0\times W_0}$ of the bispectral quantum KZ equations.

We need this detour through bispectral quantum KZ equations because it allows us to push fundamental results on the asymptotic analysis of the bispectral quantum KZ equations (cf. [31, 30]) to the bispectral problem using the Cherednik-Matsuo map ϕ. For instance, we show that the space $\mathcal{K}$ has a basis over the field of quasiconstants defined in terms of W_0-translates of a selfdual asymptotically free solution F (in the reduced case it is from [31, 30]). The image of F under the Cherednik-Matsuo map ϕ is the selfdual basic Harish-Chandra series Φ in (1.3).

As an application of the c-function expansion we establish pointwise asymptotics of the Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials in Subsection 5.1 (the L^2-asymptotics was obtained by different methods in [37, 9, 10]). In addition we relate and compare our results to the theory of basic hypergeometric series when the rank of the underlying root system is one.

2. The basic hypergeometric function

In this section we give the definition of the basic hypergeometric function associated to root systems. It was introduced by Cherednik in [5] for irreducible reduced twisted affine root systems. In [42] it was defined for the nonreduced case (sometimes called the Koornwinder case, or $C^\vee C$ case). We give a uniform treatment allowing higher rank translation lattices. In particular we also cover the GL_m-extension of the reduced type A case.

2.1. Affine root systems and extended affine Weyl groups.

In this subsection we recall well known facts on affine root systems and affine Weyl groups (for further details see, e.g., [6, 29]). Let V be an Euclidean space of dimension m with scalar product $(\cdot,\cdot)$ and corresponding norm $|\cdot|$. Let $R_0 \subset V$ be a finite set of nonzero vectors and let V_0 be its real span. We suppose that $R_0 \subset V_0$ is a crystallographic, reduced irreducible root system. We write $R_{0,s}$ (respectively $R_{0,l}$) for the subset of R_0 of short (respectively long) roots. If all roots of R_0 have the same root length then $R_{0,s} = R_0 = R_{0,l}$ by convention. Let $n = \dim(V_0) \leq m$ be the rank of R_0. Let $\Delta_0 = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ be an ordered basis of R_0 and $R_0 = R_0^+ \cup R_0^-$ the corresponding decomposition of R_0 in positive and negative roots. We order the basis elements in such a way that α_n is a short root. We write $\varphi \in R_0^+$ (respectively $\theta \in R_0^+$) for the corresponding highest root (respectively highest short root). They coincide if R_0 has only one root length. Let $Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ be the root lattice and set $Q_+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i$.

View $\widehat{V} = V \oplus \mathbb{R}c$ as the space of real valued affine linear functions on V by

$$v + rc : v' \mapsto (v, v') + r \qquad (v, v' \in V, r \in \mathbb{R}).$$

We extend the scalar product $(\cdot,\cdot)$ to a semi-positive definite form on $\widehat{V}$ such that the constant functions $\mathbb{R}c$ are in the radical. The canonical action of the affine linear group $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}(V) \ltimes V$ on V gives rise to a linear action on $\widehat{V}$ by transposition. We denote the resulting translation actions by τ. Thus $\tau(v)v' = v + v'$ and

$$\tau(v)(v' + rc) = v' + (r - (v, v'))c.$$

For $0 \neq \alpha \in V$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ let $s_{\alpha+rc}$ be the orthogonal reflection in the affine hyperplane $\{v \in V \mid (\alpha, v) = -r\}$. Then $s_{\alpha+rc} \in \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}(V) \ltimes V$. In fact, $s_{\alpha+rc} = \tau(-r\alpha^\vee)s_\alpha$ with $\alpha^\vee := 2\alpha/|\alpha|^2$.

The twisted reduced affine root system $R^\bullet$ associated to R_0 is

$$R^\bullet := \{\alpha + r\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}c \mid \alpha \in R_0,\ r \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \widehat{V}.$$

The affine Weyl group $W^\bullet$ of $R^\bullet$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}(V) \ltimes V$ generated by s_a ($a \in R^\bullet$). It preserves $R^\bullet$. In addition, $W^\bullet \simeq W_0 \ltimes Q$ with W_0 the Weyl group of R_0. We extend the ordered basis Δ_0 of R_0 to an ordered basis

$$\Delta = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n) := \big(\frac{|\theta|^2}{2}c - \theta, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$$

of $R^\bullet$. It results in the decomposition $R^\bullet = R^{\bullet,+} \cup R^{\bullet,-}$ of $R^\bullet$ in positive and negative roots.

The Weyl group W_0 and the affine Weyl group $W^\bullet$ are Coxeter groups, with Coxeter generators the simple reflections $s_i := s_{\alpha_i}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ respectively $s_0 := s_{a_0}, s_1, \ldots, s_n$.

Let $Q^\vee$ be the coroot lattice of R_0, i.e. it is the integral span of the coroots $\alpha^\vee = 2\alpha/|\alpha|^2$ $(\alpha \in R_0)$. Fix a full lattice $\Lambda \subset V$ satisfying $Q \subseteq \Lambda$ and $\big(\Lambda, Q^\vee\big) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 2.1. In this remark we relate the triples (R_0, Δ_0, Λ) to the notion of a based root datum (cf., e.g., [39, §1] for a survey on root data). Using the notations from [39, §1], suppose that $\Psi_0 = (X, \Phi, \Delta, X^\vee, \Phi^\vee, \Delta^\vee)$ is a nontoral based root datum with associated perfect pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X \times X^\vee \to \mathbb{Z}$ and associated bijection $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^\vee$ of Φ onto $\Phi^\vee$. Assume that the root system Φ is reduced and irreducible. Choose a Weyl group invariant scalar product $\big(\cdot, \cdot\big)$ on $V := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X$. Now we use the scalar product to embed $X^\vee$ as a full lattice in V. Thus $\xi \in X^\vee$, regarded as element of V, is characterized by the requirement that (ξ, x) equals $\langle x, \xi \rangle$ for all $x \in X$. The element $\alpha^\vee \in \Phi^\vee$ then corresponds to the coroot $2\alpha/|\alpha|^2$ in V. It follows that the triple $(R_0, \Delta_0, \Lambda) := (\Phi, \Delta, X)$ in V satisfies the desired properties.

Let

$$P := \{\lambda \in V_0 \mid (\lambda, \alpha^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall\, \alpha \in R_0\}$$

be the weight lattice of R_0. Let $\widetilde{\varpi}_i \in P$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ be the fundamental weights of P with respect to the ordered basis Δ_0 of R_0. In other words, $\widetilde{\varpi}_i \in V_0$ is characterized by $(\widetilde{\varpi}_i, \alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{i,j}$ (Kronecker delta function) for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Since $Q \subseteq P$ with finite index and $Q \subseteq \Lambda$, there exists for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ a smallest natural number m_i such that $\varpi_i := m_i \widetilde{\varpi}_i \in \Lambda$. Then $\{\varpi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a basis of a W_0-invariant, rank n sublattice of $\Lambda \cap V_0$ with the basis elements satisfying $(\varpi_i, \alpha_j^\vee) = 0$ if $j \neq i$ and $(\varpi_i, \alpha_i^\vee) = m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Set

$$\Lambda_c := \Lambda \cap V_0^\perp.$$

Note that $M\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_c \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\varpi_i$ for $M = m_1 \cdots m_n$. In particular, Λ_c is a full sublattice of $V_0^\perp$.

We list here the three key examples of triples (R_0, Δ_0, Λ).

Example 2.2. (i) *If $V_0 = V$ then a natural choice for Λ is the weight lattice P. Then $\varpi_i = \widetilde{\varpi}_i$. The condition $(P, P) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ can always be achieved by rescaling the scalar product.*
(ii) *Let $V = \mathbb{R}^m$ with orthonormal basis $\{\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^m$. Take $R_0 = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j\}_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq m}$ the root system of type A_{m-1} with ordered basis*

$$\Delta_0 = (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3, \ldots, \epsilon_{m-1} - \epsilon_m).$$

Then $V_0 \subset V$ is of codimension one and $n = m-1$. In this case we can take $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i$. The correponding elements $\varpi_i \in \Lambda$ $(1 \leq i < m)$ are given by $\varpi_i = m_i \widetilde{\varpi}_i$ with m_i the *smallest natural number such that* $im_i \in m\mathbb{Z}$ *and with*

$$\widetilde{\varpi}_i = \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_i - \frac{i}{m}(\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_m).$$

The lattice Λ_c *is generated by* $\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_m$.
(iii) *Let* $R_0 \subset V_0 = V = \mathbb{R}^n$ *be the root system of type* A_1 *if* $n = 1$ *and of type* B_n *if* $n \geq 2$. *In this case we can take* $\Lambda = Q$. *The corresponding elements* ϖ_i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ *are given by* $\varpi_i = \widetilde{\varpi}_i$ $(1 \leq i < n)$ *and* $\varpi_n = 2\widetilde{\varpi}_n$. *They form a basis of* Λ.

We call $W := W_0 \ltimes \Lambda$ the extended affine Weyl group associated to the triple (R_0, Δ_0, Λ). It preserves $R^\bullet$ and it contains the affine Weyl group $W^\bullet$ as normal subgroup.

The length function on W is defined by

$$l(w) = \#\big(R^{\bullet,+} \cap w^{-1}R^{\bullet,-}\big), \qquad w \in W.$$

Let $\Omega \subset W$ be the subgroup

$$\Omega = \{w \in W \mid l(w) = 0\}.$$

It normalizes $W^\bullet$, and $W \simeq \Omega \ltimes W^\bullet$. In particular, $\Omega \simeq W/W^\bullet \simeq \Lambda/Q$ as abelian groups.

The action of Ω on $R^\bullet$ restricts to an action on the unordered basis $\{a_0, \ldots, a_n\}$ of $R^\bullet$. We also view it as action on the indexing set $\{0, \ldots, n\}$ of the basis, so that $ws_iw^{-1} = s_{w(i)}$ for $w \in \Omega$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$. By the same formula, Ω acts on the affine braid group $\mathcal{B}$ associated to the Coxeter system $(W^\bullet, (s_0, \ldots, s_n))$ by group automorphisms.

The elements of the group Ω can alternatively be described as follows. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ write $u(\lambda) \in W$ for the unique element in the coset $W_0\tau(\lambda) \subset W$ of minimal length. We have $u(\lambda) = \tau(\lambda)$ if $\lambda \in \Lambda^-$, where

$$\Lambda^\pm := \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \ \pm(\lambda, \alpha^\vee) \geq 0 \quad \forall\, \alpha \in R_0^+\}$$

is the set of dominant and antidominant weights in Λ respectively. Let

$$\Lambda^+_{min} := \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid 0 \leq (\lambda, \alpha^\vee) \leq 1 \quad \forall\, \alpha \in R_0^+\}$$

be the set of miniscule dominant weights in Λ. Then $\Omega = \{u(\lambda) \,|\, \lambda \in \Lambda^+_{min}\}$.

Note that $(\Lambda, a^\vee) = \mathbb{Z}$ or $= 2\mathbb{Z}$ for $a \in R^\bullet$, where $a^\vee = 2a/(a,a)$. Define a subset $S = S(R_0, \Delta_0, \Lambda)$ of the index set $\{0, \ldots, n\}$ of the simple affine roots by

$$S := \{i \in \{0, \ldots, n\} \mid (\Lambda, a_i^\vee) = 2\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Case by case verification shows that $S = \emptyset$ or $\#S = 2$. If $\#S = 2$ and $n = 1$ then R_0 is of type A_1. If $\#S = 2$ and $n \geq 2$ then R_0 is of type B_n and $S = \{0, n\}$ (recall that α_n is short). We call $S = \emptyset$ the *reduced case* and $\#S = 2$ the *nonreduced case.* Thus the GL_m case (corresponding to Example 2.2**(ii)**) will be regarded as a special case of the reduced case.

We define a Λ-dependent extension of the reduced irreducible affine root system $R^\bullet$ as follows.

Definition 2.3. *The irreducible affine root system* $R=R(R_0,\Delta_0,\Lambda)\subset\widehat{V}$ *is defined by*

$$R:=R^\bullet\cup\bigcup_{j\in S}W^\bullet(2a_j).$$

In the reduced case we simply have $R=R^\bullet$. In this case the W-orbits of R are in one to one correspondence with the W_0-orbits of R_0. Concretely, the affine root $\alpha+r\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}c\in R$ lies in the same W-orbit as $\beta+r'\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}c\in R$ iff $\alpha\in W_0\beta$. In this case $\varpi_i=\widetilde{\varpi}_i$ for $1\leq i\leq n$ hence $P\subseteq\Lambda$ and $M=1$. In particular, $\Lambda=P\oplus\Lambda_c$. Since Λ_c is playing a trivial role in the theory below, we could add the assumption $V_0=V$ (hence $\Lambda_c=\{0\}$) without much loss of generality. We do not make this assumption in the reduced case though, because it would throw out the important GL_m case of the theory.

In the nonreduced case we have

$$R=R^\bullet\cup W(2a_0)\cup W(2a_n).$$

It is the nonreduced irreducible affine root system of type $C^\vee C_n$, cf. [27]. The basis Δ of $R^\bullet$ is also a basis of R and W is still the associated affine Weyl group. Note that R now has five W-orbits

$$W(a_0),W(2a_0),W(\varphi),W(\theta),W(2\theta).$$

In the nonreduced case $\Lambda_{min}^+=\Lambda_c$ and $\Lambda=Q\oplus\Lambda_c$, hence $W=\Lambda_c\times W^\bullet$. Again the reductive extension Λ_c of the root lattice will always play a trivial role in the nonreduced case. To simplify the presentation we therefore do add to the nonreduced case the convention that $V_0=V$, so that $\Lambda=Q$, $\Lambda_c=\{0\}$ and $\Omega=\{1\}$.

2.2. **The double affine Hecke algebra.**

References for this subsection are [6, 29, 44]. We call a function $k:R\to\mathbb{C}^*$, denoted by $a\mapsto k_a$, a multiplicity function if $k_{wa}=k_a$ for $w\in W$ and $a\in R$. We will assume throughout the paper that

$$0<k_a<1\quad\forall a\in R. \tag{2.1}$$

We write $k^\bullet$ for its restriction to $R^\bullet$ and $k_i:=k^\bullet_{a_i}$ for $0\leq i\leq n$. We set $k_{2a}:=k_a$ if $a\in R$ and $2a\notin R$.

Definition 2.4. **(i)** *The affine Hecke algebra* $H^\bullet(k^\bullet)=H^\bullet(R_0,\Delta_0;k^\bullet)$ *is the unique associative unital algebra over* $\mathbb{C}$ *with generators* $T_0,\ldots,T_n$ *satisfying the affine braid relations of* $\mathcal{B}$ *and satisfying the quadratic relations*

$$(T_i-k_i)(T_i+k_i^{-1})=0,\qquad 0\leq i\leq n.$$

(ii) *The extended affine Hecke algebra* $H(k^\bullet)=H(R_0,\Delta_0;k^\bullet)$ *is the crossed product algebra* $\Omega\ltimes H^\bullet(k^\bullet)$*, where* Ω *acts by algebra automorphisms on* $H^\bullet(k^\bullet)$ *by* $w(T_i)=T_{w(i)}$ *for* $w\in\Omega$ *and* $0\leq i\leq n$.

Recall that the lattice Λ is W_0-stable, hence W_0 acts on the complex algebraic torus $T=\mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda,\mathbb{C}^*)$ by transposition. Writing t^λ for the value of $t\in T$ at $\lambda\in\Lambda$, we thus have $(w^{-1}t)^\lambda=t^{w\lambda}$ for $w\in W_0$.

Fix $0 < q < 1$. The W_0-action on T extends to a q-dependent left W-action $(w, t) \mapsto w_q t$ on T by

$$\tau(\lambda)_q t := q^\lambda t,$$

where $q^\lambda \in T$ is defined by $\nu \mapsto q^{(\lambda,\nu)}$.

Let $\mathbb{C}[T]$ be the space of regular functions on T with $\mathbb{C}$-basis the monomials $t \mapsto t^\lambda$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$). Let $\mathbb{C}(T)$ be the corresponding quotient field. Let $\mathcal{M}(T)$ be the field of meromorphic functions on T. By transposition the q-dependent W-action on T gives an action by field automorphisms on both $\mathbb{C}(T)$ and $\mathcal{M}(T)$. This action will also be denoted by $(w, p) \mapsto w_q p$. Let $\mathbb{C}(T) \rtimes_q W \subset \mathcal{M}(T) \rtimes_q W$ be the corresponding crossed product algebras. They canonically act on $\mathcal{M}(T)$ by q-difference reflection operators.

Write for $t \in T$ and $a = \alpha + r\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}c \in R^\bullet$,

$$t_q^a := q_\alpha^r t^\alpha,$$

where $q_\alpha := q^{\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}}$. Define for $a \in R^\bullet$ the rational function $c_a = c_a(\cdot; k, q) \in \mathbb{C}(T)$ by

$$c_a(t) := \frac{(1 - k_a k_{2a} t_q^a)(1 + k_a k_{2a}^{-1} t_q^a)}{(1 - t_q^a)(1 + t_q^a)}. \tag{2.2}$$

It satisfies $c_a(w_q^{-1}t) = c_{wa}(t)$ for $a \in R^\bullet$ and $w \in W$. The following fundamental result is due to Cherednik in the reduced case (see [6, Thm. 3.2.1] and references therein) and due to Noumi [33] in the nonreduced case.

Theorem 2.5. *There exists a unique faithful algebra homomorphism*

$$\pi = \pi_{k,q} : H(k^\bullet) \to \mathbb{C}(T) \rtimes_q W$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{k,q}(T_i) &= k_i + k_i^{-1} c_{a_i}(s_{i,q} - 1), \qquad && 0 \leq i \leq n, \\ \pi_{k,q}(w) &= w_q, && w \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.6. In the reduced case $\pi_{k,q}$ is a one parameter family of algebra embeddings of $H(k^\bullet)$ (with q being the free parameter). In the nonreduced case $\pi_{k,q}$ is a three parameter family of algebra embeddings of $H(k^\bullet)$ (with $q, k_{2\theta}, k_{2a_0}$ now being the free parameters).

The double affine Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}(k, q)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}(T) \rtimes_q W$ generated by $\mathbb{C}[T]$ and $\pi_{k,q}(H(k^\bullet))$. In the remainder of the paper we will often identify $H(k^\bullet)$ with its $\pi_{k,q}$-image in $\mathbb{H}(k, q)$. In addition we write for $\lambda + rc$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$),

$$X_q^{\lambda+rc} = q^r X^\lambda$$

for the element in the double affine Hecke algebra corresponding to the regular function $t \mapsto q^r t^\lambda$ on T.

Under the canonical action of $\mathbb{C}(T) \rtimes_q W$ on $\mathbb{C}(T)$, the subspace $\mathbb{C}[T]$ is $\mathbb{H}$-stable. It is called the basic, or polynomial, representation of the double affine Hecke algebra.

2.3. **Nonsymmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials.** The results on nonsymmetric Macdonald and Koornwinder polynomials in this subsection are well known. In the reduced case they are due Cherednik (the definition of the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomial was independently given by Macdonald), see, e.g., [6, §3.3] and [29] and references therein. In the nonreduced case the results in this subsection are from [33, 38, 41]. The current uniform presentation of these results follows [44].

For $w \in W$ with reduced expression $w = u(\lambda)s_{i_1}\dots s_{i_l}$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda^+_{min}$, $0 \leq i_j \leq n$ and $l = l(w)$) we write

$$T_w := u(\lambda)T_{i_1}\cdots T_{i_l} \in H(k^\bullet).$$

The expression is independent of the choice of reduced expression. By unpublished results of Bernstein and Zelevinsky (cf. [26]), there exists a unique injective algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[T] \hookrightarrow H(k^\bullet)$, which we denote by $p \mapsto p(Y)$, such that $Y^\lambda = T_{\tau(\lambda)}$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$. Its image in $H(k^\bullet)$ is denoted by $\mathbb{C}_Y[T]$. The center $Z(H(k^\bullet))$ of $H(k^\bullet)$ is $\mathbb{C}_Y[T]^{W_0}$.

For $x \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\alpha \in R_0$ define $x^{\alpha^\vee} \in T$ by $\lambda \mapsto x^{(\lambda,\alpha^\vee)}$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$). Let $\gamma_0 = \gamma_0(k) \in T$ be the torus element

$$\gamma_0 := \prod_{\alpha \in R_0^+} \big(k_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{\alpha+|\alpha|^2 c/2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\big)^{-\alpha^\vee} \in T. \tag{2.3}$$

More generally, define for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the element $\gamma_\lambda := \gamma_\lambda(k,q) \in T$ by

$$\gamma_\lambda := u(\lambda)_q \gamma_0.$$

For $\lambda \in \Lambda^-$ we thus have $\gamma_\lambda = q^\lambda \gamma_0$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$. There exists a unique $P_\lambda = P_\lambda(\cdot;k,q) \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ such that*

$$\pi_{k,q}(p(Y))P_\lambda = p(\gamma_\lambda^{-1})P_\lambda \qquad \forall\, p \in \mathbb{C}[T]$$

and such that the coefficient of t^λ in the expansion of $P_\lambda(t)$ in monomials t^ν ($\nu \in \Lambda$) is one.

P_λ is the monic nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomial of degree λ in the reduced case and the nonsymmetric monic Koornwinder polynomial in the nonreduced case. We refer to P_λ in the remainder of the text as the monic nonsymmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomial (similar terminology will be used later for the normalized and symmetrized versions of P_λ).

Write k^{-1} for the multiplicity function $a \mapsto k_a^{-1}$. Similarly to Theorem 2.7 there exists, for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, a unique $P'_\lambda = P'_\lambda(\cdot;k,q) \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ such that

$$\pi_{k^{-1},q^{-1}}(p(Y))P'_\lambda = p(\gamma_\lambda)P'_\lambda \qquad \forall\, p \in \mathbb{C}[T]$$

and such that the coefficient of t^λ in the expansion of $P'_\lambda(t)$ in monomials t^ν ($\nu \in \Lambda$) is one.

Next we define the normalized versions of P_λ and P'_λ. For this we first need to recall the evaluation formulas for P_λ and P'_λ.

The multiplicity function k^d on R dual to k is defined as follows. In the reduced case $k^d := k$. In the nonreduced case $k_0^d := k_{2\theta}$, $k_{2\theta}^d := k_0$ and the values on the remaining

W-orbits of R are unchanged. Set $\gamma_{\lambda,d}=\gamma_\lambda(k^d,q)$. The evaluation formulas for the nonsymmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials then read

$$\begin{aligned} P_\lambda(\gamma_{0,d}) &= \prod_{a\in R^{\bullet,+}\cap u(\lambda)^{-1}R^{\bullet,-}} (k_a^d)^{-1}c_a(\gamma_0;k^d,q),\\ P_\lambda'(\gamma_{0,d}^{-1}) &= \prod_{a\in R^{\bullet,+}\cap u(\lambda)^{-1}R^{\bullet,-}} k_a^d c_a(\gamma_0^{-1};(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

By the conditions on the parameters k_a and q we have $P_\lambda(\gamma_{0,d})\neq 0\neq P'_\lambda(\gamma_{0,d}^{-1})$ for all $\lambda\in\Lambda$. Hence the following definition makes sense.

Definition 2.8. *Let $\lambda\in\lambda$. The normalized nonsymmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomial $E(\gamma_\lambda;\cdot)=E(\gamma_\lambda;\cdot;k,q)$ of degree λ is defined by*

$$E(\gamma_\lambda;t):=\frac{P_\lambda(t)}{P_\lambda(\gamma_{0,d})}.$$

Similarly we define $E'(\gamma_\lambda^{-1};\cdot)=E'(\gamma_\lambda^{-1};\cdot;k,q)$ by

$$E'(\gamma_\lambda^{-1};t):=\frac{P'_\lambda(t)}{P'_\lambda(\gamma_{0,d}^{-1})}.$$

It is related to $E(\gamma_\lambda;t)$ by the formula

$$E'(\gamma_\lambda^{-1};t^{-1})=k_{w_0}^{-1}\big(\pi_{k,q}(T_{w_0})E(\gamma_{-w_0\lambda};\cdot)\big)(t), \tag{2.5}$$

where $w_0\in W_0$ is the longest Weyl group element and $k_w:=\prod_{\alpha\in R_0^+\cap w^{-1}R_0^-}k_\alpha$ for $w\in W_0$ (see [6, (3.3.26)] for a proof of (2.5) in the reduced case; its proof easily extends to the nonreduced case).

An important property of the normalized nonsymmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials is duality,

$$E(\gamma_\lambda;\gamma_{\nu,d};k,q)=E(\gamma_{\nu,d};\gamma_\lambda;k^d,q),\qquad \forall\,\lambda,\nu\in\Lambda.$$

A similar duality formula holds true for E'.

Define $N(\lambda)=N(\lambda;k,q)$ for $\lambda\in\Lambda$ by

$$N(\lambda):=\prod_{a\in R^{\bullet,+}\cap u(\lambda)^{-1}R^{\bullet,-}}\frac{c_{-a}(\gamma_0;k^d,q)}{c_a(\gamma_0;k^d,q)}.$$

They appear as the quadratic norms of the nonsymmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials. Concretely, if the parameters satisfy the additional conditions $|k_ak_{2a}^{-1}|\leq 1$ for all $a\in R$ (this only gives additional constraints in the nonreduced case), then

$$\langle E(\gamma_\lambda;\cdot;k,q),E(\gamma_\nu^{-1};k^{-1},q^{-1})\rangle_{k,q}=\langle 1,1\rangle_{k,q}N(\lambda;k,q)\delta_{\lambda,\nu}$$

for all $\lambda,\nu\in\Lambda$ with respect to the sesquilinear pairing

$$\langle p_1,p_2\rangle_{k,q}:=\int_{T_u}p_1(t)\overline{p_2(t)}\Big(\prod_{a\in R^{\bullet,+}}\frac{1}{c_a(t;k,q)}\Big)dt,\qquad p_1,p_2\in\mathbb{C}[T].$$

Here $T_u := \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda, S^1) \subset T$ with S^1 the unit circle in the complex plane, and dt is the normalized Haar measure on the compact torus T_u.

2.4. **Gaussians.** The results in this section are from [6, §3.2] in the reduced case and from [42] in the nonreduced case.

The q-shifted factorial is

$$\big(x;q\big)_r := \prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(1-q^ix), \qquad r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\cup\{\infty\}$$

(by convention empty products are equal to one). Recall that the q-Gamma function is defined as

$$\Gamma_q(x) = (1-q)^{1-x}\frac{\big(q;q\big)_\infty}{\big(q^x;q\big)_\infty}, \tag{2.6}$$

see [11, §1.10]. In this paper we will though express all results in terms of q-shifted factorials. Set

$$\theta(x;q) := \big(q;q\big)_\infty\big(x;q\big)_\infty\big(q/x;q\big)_\infty.$$

It is the Jacobi theta function $\sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{\frac{r^2}{2}}(-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}x)^r$, written in multiplicative form via the Jacobi triple product identity. It satisfies the functional equations

$$\theta(q^rx;q) = (-x)^{-r}q^{-\frac{r(r-1)}{2}}\theta(x;q) \qquad \forall\, r \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The theta function associated to the lattice Λ is the holomorphic W_0-invariant function $\vartheta(\cdot) = \vartheta_\Lambda(\cdot)$ on T defined by

$$\vartheta(t) := \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} q^{\frac{|\lambda|^2}{2}}t^\lambda. \tag{2.7}$$

Since the base for $\vartheta(\cdot)$ will always be q we do not specify it in the notation. The theta function $\vartheta(\cdot)$ satisfies the functional equations $\vartheta(q^\lambda t) = q^{-\frac{|\lambda|^2}{2}}t^{-\lambda}\vartheta(t)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Remark 2.9. We will always specify the variable dependence, $\theta(\cdot;q)$ and $\vartheta(\cdot)$, to avoid confusion with the highest short root θ and the highest root ϑ of R_0.

Definition 2.10. *The Gaussian $G = G_{k,q} \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ is defined by*

$$G(t) := \vartheta(t)^{-1}$$

in the reduced case and

$$G(t) := \big(q_\theta^2;q_\theta^2\big)_\infty^{-n}\prod_{\alpha\in R_{0,s}}\big(-q_\theta k_0k_{2a_0}^{-1}t^\alpha;q_\theta^2\big)_\infty^{-1}$$

in the nonreduced case.

Note that the Gaussian is W_0-invariant, and that $G(t) = G(t^{-1})$.

Remark 2.11. In the nonreduced case the set $R_0^{s,+}$ of positive short roots is an orthogonal basis of V and a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\Lambda = Q$ (cf. Subsection 5.3). By the Jacobi triple product identity it then follows that $G(t)$ equals $\vartheta(t)^{-1}$ in the nonreduced case if $k_0 = k_{2a_0}$.

We recall the most fundamental property of the Gaussian in the following proposition. For proofs and more facts on the Gaussians we refer to [5, 42].

Proposition 2.12. (i) *Given a multiplicity function k on R, the assignment $k^\tau_{a_0} := k_{2a_0}$, $k^\tau_{2a_0} = k_{a_0}$ and $k^\tau_{a_i} := k_{a_i}$, $k^\tau_{2a_i} := k_{2a_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ determines a multiplicity function k^τ on R.*
(ii) *There exists a unique algebra isomorphism $\tau : \mathbb{H}(k,q) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}(k^\tau, q)$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(T_0) &= X_q^{-a_0} T_0^{-1}, && \\ \tau(T_i) &= T_i, && 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ \tau(X^\lambda) &= X^\lambda, && \lambda \in \Lambda, \\ \tau(u(\lambda)) &= q^{-\frac{|\lambda|^2}{2}} X^\lambda u(\lambda), && \lambda \in \Lambda^+_{min}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *For all $Z \in \mathbb{H}(k,q)$ we have*

$$G_{k,q}(\cdot) Z G_{k,q}(\cdot)^{-1} = \tau(Z)$$

in $\mathcal{M}(T) \rtimes_q W$, where we view both $\mathbb{H}(k,q)$ and $\mathbb{H}(k^d, q)$ as subalgebras of $\mathcal{M}(T) \rtimes_q W$.

2.5. **The nonsymmetric basic hypergeometric function.** The nonsymmetric Macdonald-Mehta weight $\Omega(\cdot) = \Omega(\cdot; k, q) : \Lambda \to \mathbb{C}$ is

$$\Omega(\lambda; k, q) := \frac{G_{k^{\tau d},q}(\gamma_{\lambda,\tau})}{G_{k^{\tau d},q}(\gamma_{0,\tau}) N(\lambda; k^{d\tau}, q)},$$

where $\gamma_{\lambda,\tau} := \gamma_\lambda(k^\tau, q)$, $k^{\tau d} = (k^\tau)^d$ and $k^{d\tau} = (k^d)^\tau$ (see [5, §7] in the reduced case and [41, §6.1] in the nonreduced case). We have $\Omega(0; k, q) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\lambda; k, q) &= \Omega(\lambda; k^d, q), \\ \Omega(-w_0\lambda; k, q) &= \Omega(\lambda; k, q) \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. In view of the Gaussian contribution to the weight $\Omega(\lambda)$, the discrete Macdonald-Metha integral $M := M(k,q)$ defined by

$$M := G(\gamma_0; k^{d\tau}, q) G(\gamma_{0,d}; k^\tau, q) \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Omega(\lambda; k, q)$$

is convergent. It can be evaluated explicitly, see [5, Thm 1.1] in the reduced case and [42, Prop. 6.1] in the nonreduced case. It will play the role of normalization constant for the (nonsymmetric) basic hypergeometric function.

For $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we denote the simple root $-w_0\alpha_i$ by α_{i^*}. Write $\mathbb{K}$ for the field of meromorphic functions on $T \times T$.

Theorem 2.13. **(i)** *There exists a unique antiisomorphism* $\xi : \mathbb{H}(k,q) \to \mathbb{H}((k^d)^{-1}, q^{-1})$ *satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(T_i) &= T_{i^*}^{-1}, && 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ \xi(Y^\lambda) &= X^{-w_0\lambda}, && \lambda \in \Lambda, \\ \xi(X^\lambda) &= T_{w_0} Y^\lambda T_{w_0}^{-1}, && \lambda \in \Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *There exists a unique* $\mathcal{E}(\cdot,\cdot) = \mathcal{E}(\cdot,\cdot;k,q) \in \mathbb{K}$ *satisfying*

(1) $(t,\gamma) \mapsto G_{k^\tau,q}(t)^{-1} G_{k^{d\tau},q}(\gamma)^{-1} \mathcal{E}(t,\gamma;k,q)$ *is a holomorphic function on* $T \times T$,

(2) $\pi^t_{k,q}(Z)\mathcal{E} = \pi^\gamma_{(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}}(\xi(Z))\mathcal{E}$ *for all* $Z \in \mathbb{H}(k,q)$, *where* $\pi^t(Z)$ *and* $\pi^\gamma(\xi(Z))$ *are the actions of* $\pi(Z)$ *and* $\pi(\xi(Z))$ *on the first and second torus variable respectively,*

(3) $\mathcal{E}(\gamma_{0,d}, \gamma_0) = 1$.

Explicitly,

$$\mathcal{E}(t,\gamma;k,q) = M_{k,q}^{-1} G_{k^\tau,q}(t) G_{k^{d\tau},q}(\gamma) \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Omega(\lambda;k,q) E(\gamma_{-w_0\lambda,\tau}; t; k^\tau, q) E'(\gamma_{\lambda,d\tau}^{-1}; \gamma; k^{d\tau}, q)$$

with the sum converging normally and with $\gamma_{\lambda,d\tau} = \gamma_\lambda(k^{d\tau}, q)$.

Proof. **(i)** Set $(If)(t) := f(t^{-1})$ for $f \in \mathbb{C}(T)$. There exists a unique algebra isomorphism $\eta : \mathbb{H}(k,q) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}(k^{-1},q^{-1})$ such that $\pi_{k,q}(Z) \circ I = I \circ \pi_{k^{-1},q^{-1}}(\eta(Z))$ for all $Z \in \mathbb{H}(k,q)$ (both sides of the identity viewed as operators on $\mathbb{C}(T)$). Then $\xi(Z) = T_{w_0}(\delta(\eta(Z)))T_{w_0}^{-1}$ with $\delta : \mathbb{H}(k^{-1},q^{-1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}((k^d)^{-1},q^{-1})$ the linear duality antiisomorphism mapping T_i to T_i^{-1} ($1 \leq i \leq n$), X^λ to $Y^{-\lambda}$ and Y^λ to $X^{-\lambda}$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$). See [6, §3.3.2] in the reduced case and [38] in the nonreduced case for further details on the duality antiisomorphism δ, as well as [17, 13].

(ii) A nonsymmetric kernel function $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathcal{M}(T \times T)$ was defined and studied by Cherednik [5, §5] in the reduced case (denoted in [5] as $\mathcal{E}_{q^{-1}}$) and by the author [42, §5] in the nonreduced case (denoted in [42] as $\mathfrak{E}_\ddagger$). Its transformation property with respect to the actions of the double affine Hecke algebra is

$$\pi^t_{k^{-1},q^{-1}}(Z)\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} = \pi^\gamma_{(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}}(\delta(Z))\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}, \qquad \forall\, Z \in \mathbb{H}(k^{-1},q^{-1}).$$

Our kernel $\mathcal{E}$ can be expressed in terms of $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ by

$$\mathcal{E}(t,\gamma) = \big(\pi^\gamma_{(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}}(T_{w_0})\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\big)(t^{-1},\gamma)$$

up to normalization, cf. the proof of **(i)**. □

Definition 2.14. *We call* $\mathcal{E}(\cdot,\cdot) = \mathcal{E}(\cdot,\cdot;k,q)$ *the nonsymmetric basic hypergeometric function associated to the triple* (R_0, Δ_0, Λ).

Remark 2.15. As already noted in the proof of Theorem 2.13, the definition of the nonsymmetric basic hypergeometric function $\mathcal{E}$ differs slightly from the definitions of the kernel functions in [5, 42, 7]. With our definition of $\mathcal{E}$ the connection with meromorphic solutions of the bispectral quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations will be more transparent (see

Subsection 3.1). The difference between the definitions disappears upon symmetrization, cf. Subsection 2.6.

Note that $\mathcal{E}(\cdot,\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in T$ such that $G_{k^{d\tau},q}(\gamma) \neq 0$ is a meromorphic solution of the spectral problem

$$\pi_{k,q}(p(Y))f = (w_0p)(\gamma^{-1})f \qquad \forall\, p \in \mathbb{C}[T].$$

In view of Theorem 2.13 we actually have $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{k,q}$, where

$$\mathcal{S}_{k,q} := \{f \in \mathbb{K} \mid \pi^t_{k,q}(Z)f = \pi^\gamma_{(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}}(\xi(Z))f \quad \forall\, Z \in \mathbb{H}\}. \tag{2.9}$$

$\mathcal{S}$ is a vectorspace over $\mathbb{F}^{W_0\times W_0}$, with $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{K}$ the subfield

$$\mathbb{F} := \{f \in \mathbb{K} \mid f(q^\lambda t, q^{\lambda'}\gamma) = f(t,\gamma) \quad \forall\, \lambda,\lambda' \in \Lambda\} \tag{2.10}$$

of quasi-constant meromorphic functions on $T \times T$.

Proposition 2.16. **(i)** *The involution ι of $\mathbb{K}$, defined by $(\iota f)(t,\gamma) = f(\gamma^{-1},t^{-1})$, restricts to a complex linear isomorphism*

$$\iota|_{\mathcal{S}_{k,q}} : \mathcal{S}_{k,q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}_{k^d,q}.$$

(ii) *The nonsymmetric basic hypergeometric function $\mathcal{E}$ is selfdual,*

$$\iota\big(\mathcal{E}(\cdot,\cdot;k,q)\big) = \mathcal{E}(\cdot,\cdot;k^d,q).$$

Proof. **(i)** Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{k,q}$ and set $g := \iota f$. Recall the isomorphism η from the proof of Theorem 2.13. Denote η_d for the isomorphism η with respect to dual parameters (k^d,q). Then

$$\pi^t_{k^d,q}(Z)g = \pi_{k^{-1},q^{-1}}(\widetilde{\xi}(Z))g, \qquad \forall\, Z \in \mathbb{H}(k^d,q)$$

with antiisomorphism $\widetilde{\xi} = \eta^{-1}\circ\xi^{-1}\circ\eta_d : \mathbb{H}(k^d,q) \to \mathbb{H}(k^{-1},q^{-1})$. Since $\eta(T_i) = T_i^{-1}$, $\eta(X^\lambda) = X^{-\lambda}$ and $\eta(Y^\lambda) = T_{w_0}Y^{w_0\lambda}T_{w_0}^{-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ (cf. [6, Prop. 3.2.2]), we have that $\widetilde{\xi}$ is the antiisomorphism ξ with respect to dual parameters (k^d,q). Hence $g \in \mathcal{S}_{k^d,q}$.

(ii) It follows from the explicit series expansion of $\mathcal{E}$, (2.5) and (2.8) that

$$\iota(\mathcal{E}(\cdot,\cdot;k,q)) = \pi^t_{k^d,q}(T_{w_0})\pi^\gamma_{k^{-1},q^{-1}}(T_{w_0})\mathcal{E}(\cdot,\cdot;k^d,q).$$

But this equals $\mathcal{E}(\cdot,\cdot;k^d,q)$ since $\xi(T_{w_0}) = T_{w_0}^{-1}$. □

2.6. **The basic hypergeometric function.** We first recall some well known facts about symmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials from e.g. [6, 29, 33, 38, 42]. For $p \in \mathbb{C}[T]^{W_0}$ we decompose the q-difference reflection operator $\pi_{k,q}(p(Y))$ associated to the central element $p(Y) \in Z(H(k^\bullet))$ as

$$\pi_{k,q}(p(Y)) = \sum_{w\in W_0} D^{k,q}_{p,w}w_q, \qquad D^{k,q}_{p,w} \in \mathbb{C}(T) \rtimes_q \tau(\Lambda).$$

The Macdonald operator $D_p = D^{k,q}_p$ associated to p is then defined by

$$D^{k,q}_p := \sum_{w\in W_0} D^{k,q}_{p,w}.$$

The Macdonald operators D_p ($p \in \mathbb{C}[T]^{W_0}$) are pairwise commuting, W_0-equivariant scalar q-difference operators (see, e.g., [25, Lem. 2.7]). Explicit expressions of D_p can be given for special choices of $p \in \mathbb{C}[T]^{W_0}$, in which case they reduce to the original definitions of the Macdonald, Koornwinder and Ruijsenaars q-difference operators from [28], [23] and [36] respectively (see [29, §4.4]).

The idempotent

$$C_+ := \frac{1}{\sum_{w\in W_0} k_k^2} \sum_{w\in W_0} k_w T_w \in H(k^\bullet)$$

satisfies $T_iC_+ = k_iC_+ = C_+T_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ (we do not specify the $k^\bullet$-dependence of C_+, it will always be clear from the context). It follows that $\pi_{k,q}(C_+) : \mathcal{M}(T) \to \mathcal{M}(T)$ is a projection operator with image $\mathcal{M}(T)^{W_0}$. Consequently, if $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ satisfies the q-difference reflection equations

$$\pi_{k,q}(p(Y))f = p(\gamma^{-1})f \qquad \forall\, p \in \mathbb{C}[T]^{W_0}$$

for some $\gamma \in T$, then $f_+ := \pi_{k,q}(C_+)f \in \mathcal{M}(T)^{W_0}$ satisfies

$$D_pf_+ = p(\gamma^{-1})f_+ \qquad \forall\, p \in \mathbb{C}[T]^{W_0}.$$

In particular, the *normalized symmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomial*

$$E_+(\gamma_\lambda;\cdot) := \pi_{k,q}(C_+)E(\gamma_\lambda;\cdot) \in \mathbb{C}[T]^{W_0}, \qquad \lambda \in \Lambda^-$$

satisfies

$$D_p\big(E_+(\gamma_\lambda;\cdot)\big) = p(\gamma_\lambda^{-1})E_+(\gamma_\lambda;\cdot) \qquad \forall\, p \in \mathbb{C}[T]^{W_0}.$$

The monic symmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomial $P_\lambda^+(\cdot) = P_\lambda^+(\cdot;k,q) \in \mathbb{C}[T]^{W_0}$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda^-$) is the renormalization of $E_+(\gamma_\lambda;\cdot)$ having an expression

$$P_\lambda^+(t) = \sum_{\mu\in Q_+} d_\mu t^{w_0\lambda-\mu}$$

in monomials with leading coefficient $d_0 = 1$. Then $E_+(\gamma_\lambda;\cdot) = P_\lambda^+(\gamma_{0,d})^{-1}P_\lambda^+(\cdot)$, since $E_+(\gamma_\lambda;\gamma_{0,d}) = 1$. Selfduality and evaluation formula for the symmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder follow from the corresponding results for the nonsymmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials by standard symmetrization arguments. Alternatively they can be derived from the asymptotic analysis of the bispectral quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, see Remark 3.11.

Before symmetrizing the nonsymmetric basic hypergeometric function $\mathcal{E}$, we first introduce and analyze the natural space it will be contained in, cf. [31, Def. 6.13] for GL_m and [30, Def. 6.4] for the reduced case.

Definition 2.17. *We set $\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{U}_{k,q}$ for the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space of meromorphic functions f on $T \times T$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} \big(D_p^t f\big)(t,\gamma) &= p(\gamma^{-1})f(t,\gamma) \\ \big(\widetilde{D}_p^\gamma f\big)(t,\gamma) &= p(t)f(t,\gamma) \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{C}[T]^{W_0}$*, where* $\widetilde{D}_p = D_p^{(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}}$*. The superindices* t *and* γ *indicate that the* q*-difference operator is acting on the first and second torus component respectively.*

Note that $\mathcal{U}$ is $W_0 \times W_0$-invariant. View $\pi^t_{k,q}(C_+)$ and $\pi^\gamma_{(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}}(C_+)$ as projection operators on $\mathbb{K}$. Their images are $\mathbb{K}^{W_0\times\{1\}}$ and $\mathbb{K}^{\{1\}\times W_0}$ respectively.

Lemma 2.18. (i) *The restrictions of the projection operators* $\pi^t_{k,q}(C_+)$ *and* $\pi^\gamma_{(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}}(C_+)$ *to* $\mathcal{S}_{k,q}$ *coincide and map into* $\mathcal{U}^{W_0\times W_0}_{k,q}$*.*
(ii) *The involution* ι *of* $\mathbb{K}$ *restricts to a complex linear isomorphism*

$$\iota|_{\mathcal{U}_{k,q}} : \mathcal{U}_{k,q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{U}_{k^d,q}.$$

(iii) *For all* $f \in \mathcal{S}$*,*

$$\pi^t_{k^d,q}(C_+)(\iota f) = \iota(\pi^t_{k,q}(C_+)f).$$

Proof. Since $\xi(C_+) = C_+$, the restrictions of $\pi^t_{k,q}(C_+)$ and $\pi^\gamma_{(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}}(C_+)$ to $\mathcal{S}_{k,q}$ coincide. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{k,q}$ and set $f_+ := \pi^t_{k,q}(C_+)f$. Since $\xi(p(Y)) = p(X^{-1})$ for all $p \in \mathbb{C}[T]^{W_0}$ and since the projection operator $\pi_{k,q}(C_+)$ on $\mathbb{K}$ has range $\mathbb{K}^{W_0\times\{1\}}$, the meromorphic function f_+ satisfies the first set of equations from (2.11). For the second set of equations of (2.11) note that f_+ is W_0-invariant in the second torus component since $f_+ = \pi^\gamma_{(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}}(C_+)f$. Then for $p \in \mathbb{C}[T]^{W_0}$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_p^{(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}} f_+ &= \pi^\gamma_{(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}}(C_+p(Y))f \\ &= \pi^\gamma_{(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}}(C_+)\pi_{(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}}(T_{w_0}p(Y)T_{w_0}^{-1})f \\ &= \pi^t_{k,q}(p(X))\pi^\gamma_{(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}}(C_+)f \\ &= \pi^t_{k,q}(p(X))f_+ \end{aligned}$$

since $\xi(p(X)) = T_{w_0}p(Y)T_{w_0}^{-1}$. Hence $f_+ \in \mathcal{U}^{W_0\times W_0}_{k,q}$, proving **(i)**. Part **(iii)** follows from **(i)** and the fact that

$$\iota \circ \pi^t_{k,q}(C_+) = \pi^\gamma_{k^{-1},q^{-1}}(C_+)$$

(which in turn follows from the fact that $\eta(C_+) = C_+$). It remains to prove **(ii)**. It suffices to show that $I \circ D_p^{k,q} \circ I = D_p^{k^{-1},q^{-1}}$ for $p \in \mathbb{C}[T]^{W_0}$ as endomorphism of $\mathcal{M}(T)$, where $(Ig)(t) := g(t^{-1})$. This follows from $\eta(p(Y)) = p(Y)$ for $p \in \mathbb{C}[T]^{W_0}$, cf. the proof of Proposition 2.16 (see also [30, Lem. 6.2]). □

The nonsymmetric basic hypergeometric function $\mathcal{E}$ associated to (R_0, Δ_0, Λ), being a distinguished element of $\mathcal{S}$, thus gives rise to a distinguished $W_0 \times W_0$-symmetric meromorphic solution to the bispectral problem (2.11):

Definition 2.19. *We call* $\mathcal{E}_+(\cdot,\cdot) = \mathcal{E}_+(\cdot,\cdot;k,q) := \pi^t_{k,q}(C_+)\mathcal{E}(\cdot,\cdot;k,q) \in \mathcal{U}^{W_0\times W_0}$ *the basic hypergeometric function associated to the triple* (R_0, Δ_0, Λ)*.*

In the reduced case $\mathcal{E}_+$ is Cherednik's [5, 7] global spherical function. In the nonreduced case $\mathcal{E}_+$ was defined by the author in [42].

We list the key properties of the basic hypergeometric function in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.20. **(i)** *Explicit series expansion,*

$$\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma;k,q)=M_{k,q}^{-1}G_{k^\tau,q}(t)G_{k^{d\tau},q}(\gamma)\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda^-}\Omega^+(\lambda;k,q)E_+(\gamma_{\lambda,\tau};t;k^\tau,q)E_+(\gamma_{\lambda,d\tau};\gamma^{-1};k^{d\tau},q)$$

with normally converging series, where $\Omega^+(\lambda;k,q):=\sum_{\nu\in W_0\lambda}\Omega(\nu;k,q)$.
(ii) *Inversion symmetry,*

$$\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma;k,q)=\mathcal{E}_+(t^{-1},\gamma^{-1};k,q).$$

(iii) *Duality,*

$$\iota\big(\mathcal{E}_+(\cdot,\cdot;k,q)\big)=\mathcal{E}_+(\cdot,\cdot;k^d,q).$$

(iv) *Reduction to symmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials,*

$$\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma_\lambda;k,q)=E_+(\gamma_{\lambda_-};t;k,q)\qquad\forall\,\lambda\in\Lambda,$$

with $\lambda_-\in\Lambda^-$ *the unique antidominant weight in the orbit* $W_0\lambda$.

Proof. We only sketch the proof. For detailed proofs see [5] in the reduced case and [42] in the nonreduced case.
(i) This follows from rather standard symmetrization arguments, using the fact that $\pi(C_+)E(\gamma_\lambda;\cdot)$ only depends on the orbit $W_0\lambda$ of λ and that

$$E_+'(\gamma_\lambda^{-1};t)=E_+(\gamma_{-w_0\lambda};t^{-1}) \tag{2.12}$$

for $\lambda\in\Lambda^-$, where $E_+'(\gamma_\lambda^{-1};\cdot):=\pi_{k^{-1},q^{-1}}(C_+)E'(\gamma_\lambda^{-1};\cdot)$. Formula (2.12) is a direct consequence of (2.5).
(ii) This follows from **(i)** and the formula $E_+(\gamma_\lambda;t^{-1})=E_+(\gamma_{-w_0\lambda};t)$ for $\lambda\in\Lambda^-$. The latter formula is a consequence of (2.12) and the fact that $E_+'(\gamma_\lambda^{-1};t)=E_+(\gamma_\lambda;t)$ for $\lambda\in\Lambda^-$ (see, e.g., [29, (5.3.2)]).
(iii) This follows from **(i)** and the self-duality $\Omega^+(\lambda;k,q)=\Omega^+(\lambda;k^d,q)$ of the weight Ω^+. Alternatively, use Proposition 2.16 and Lemma 2.18**(iii)**.
(iv) This is Cherednik's generalization of the Shintani-Casselman-Shalika formula in the reduced case (see [5, (7.13)],[7, (3.11)]). For the nonreduced case, see [42, Thm. 6.15(d)]. □

3. Basic Harish-Chandra series

In this section we generalize and analyze the basic Harish-Chandra series from [31] (GL_m case) and from [30] (reduced case). The basic Harish-Chandra series is a q-analog of the Harish-Chandra series solution of the Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric system associated to root systems (see [16, Part I, Chpt. 4] and references therein).

Our approach differs from the classical treatment, in the sense that we construct, following [31, 30], the basic Harish-Chandra series as matrix coefficient of a power series solution of a bispectral extension of Cherednik's [3, 4] quantum affine Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) equations associated to the minimal principal series of $H(k^\bullet)$ (the extension being given by a compatible set of equations acting on the central character of the minimal principal series representation). This is essential for two reasons:

(1) Convergence issues: formal power series solutions of the (bispectral) quantum KZ equation are easily seen to converge deep in the asymptotic sector, in contrast to formal power series solutions of the spectral problem for the Macdonald q-difference operators.
(2) The formal power series solution of the bispectral quantum KZ equation gives rise to a selfdual, globally meromorphic q-analog of the classical Harish-Chandra series. The selfduality plays an important role in our proof of the c-function expansion of the basic hypergeometric function in Section 4.

Our approach also gives new proofs of the selfduality and the evaluation formula for the symmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials (see Remark 3.11).

3.1. **Bispectral quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations.** In this subsection we show that the space $\mathcal{S}$ (see (2.9)) is isomorphic to the space of solutions of a bispectral extension of the quantum affine Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) equations.

We will first introduce the bispectral quantum KZ equations, following and extending [31, 30]. Tensor products and endomorphism spaces will be over $\mathbb{C}$ unless stated explicitly otherwise. Let $\chi : R_0 \to \{0,1\}$ be the characteristic function of R_0^-. Set $\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{w \in W_0} \mathbb{C} v_w$. Define elements

$$C^{k,q}_{(w,1)}, C^{k,q}_{(1,w)} \in \mathbb{K} \otimes \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V})$$

for the generators $w = s_i$, $w = u(\lambda)$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda^+_{min}$) of the extended affine Weyl group W by

$$\begin{aligned}
C^{k,q}_{(s_0,1)}(t,\gamma)v_w &:= \frac{\gamma^{-w^{-1}\theta} v_{s_\theta w}}{k_0 c_0(t;k^{-1},q)} + \left(\frac{c_0(t;k^{-1},q) - k_0^{-2\chi(w^{-1}\theta)}}{c_0(t;k^{-1},q)}\right) v_w,\\
C^{k,q}_{(s_i,1)}(t,\gamma)v_w &:= \frac{v_{s_i w}}{k_i c_i(t;k^{-1},q)} + \left(\frac{c_i(t;k^{-1},q) - k_i^{-2\chi(-w^{-1}\alpha_i)}}{c_i(t;k^{-1},q)}\right) v_w,\\
C^{k,q}_{(u(\lambda),1)}(t,\gamma)v_w &:= \gamma^{w^{-1}w_0\lambda} v_{v(\lambda)^{-1}w}
\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\lambda \in \Lambda^+_{min}$ and $w \in W_0$, where $v(\lambda) \in W_0$ is the element of minimal length such that $v(\lambda)\lambda \in \Lambda^-$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
C^{k,q}_{(1,s_0)}(t,\gamma)v_w &:= \frac{t^{w\theta} v_{w s_\theta}}{k_0^d c_0(\gamma^{-1};(k^d)^{-1},q)} + \left(\frac{c_0(\gamma^{-1};(k^d)^{-1},q) - (k_0^d)^{-2\chi(w\theta)}}{c_0(\gamma^{-1};(k^d)^{-1},q)}\right) v_w,\\
C^{k,q}_{(1,s_i)}(t,\gamma)v_w &:= \frac{v_{w s_i}}{k_i^d c_i(\gamma^{-1};(k^d)^{-1},q)} + \left(\frac{c_i(\gamma^{-1};(k^d)^{-1},q) - (k_i^d)^{-2\chi(-w\alpha_i)}}{c_i(\gamma^{-1};(k^d)^{-1},q)}\right) v_w,\\
C^{k,q}_{(1,u(\lambda))}(t,\gamma)v_w &:= t^{-ww_0\lambda} v_{wv(\lambda)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The following theorem is [31, Cor. 3.4 & Lem. 4.3] in the GL_m-case and [30, Cor. 3.8 & Lem. 4.3] in the reduced case. The extension to the nonreduced case is straightforward.

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a unique left $W \times W$-action $((w_1, w_2), g) \mapsto \nabla^{k,q}((w_1, w_2))g$ on $\mathbb{K} \otimes \mathcal{V}$ satisfying*

$$\nabla^{k,q}(w,1)g = C^{k,q}_{(w,1)} w^t_q g$$
$$\nabla^{k,q}(1,w)g = C^{k,q}_{(1,w)} w^\gamma_{q^{-1}} g$$

for $g \in \mathbb{K}$, $w = s_j$ $(0 \leq j \leq n)$ and $w = u(\lambda)$ $(\lambda \in \Lambda^+_{min})$, where

$$(w^t_q g)(t,\gamma) = g(w_q^{-1}t, \gamma), \qquad (w^\gamma_{q^{-1}}g)(t,\gamma) = g(t, w^{-1}_{q^{-1}}\gamma).$$

We say that $g \in \mathbb{K} \otimes \mathcal{V}$ satisfies the bispectral quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations if g is a solution of the holonomic system

$$\nabla(\tau(\lambda), \tau(\lambda'))g = g \qquad \forall\, (\lambda, \lambda') \in \Lambda \times \Lambda \tag{3.1}$$

of q-difference equations. Restricting the equations (3.1) to $\Lambda \times \{0\}$ and fixing the second torus variable $\gamma \in T$ gives, in the reduced case, Cherednik's [3, 4] quantum affine KZ equation associated to the minimal principal series representation of $H(k^\bullet)$ with central character γ.

Definition 3.2. *We write $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{k,q}$ for the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space consisting of $g \in \mathbb{K} \otimes \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the bispectral quantum KZ equations* (3.1)*.*

Note that $\mathcal{K}$ is a $W_0 \times W_0$-module, with action the restriction of ∇ to $W_0 \times W_0$.

Let σ be the complex linear automorphism of $\mathbb{K} \otimes \mathcal{V}$ defined by

$$\sigma(f \otimes v_w) := \iota f \otimes v_{w^{-1}}.$$

Then

$$\sigma \circ \nabla^{k,q}((w, w')) = \nabla^{k^d,q}((w', w)) \qquad \forall\, w, w' \in W. \tag{3.2}$$

In particular, σ restricts to a complex linear isomorphism $\mathcal{K}_{k,q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{K}_{k^d,q}$.

Define complex linear maps

$$\psi := \psi_{k,q} : \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{K} \otimes \mathcal{V},$$
$$\phi := \phi_{k,q} : \mathbb{K} \otimes \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{K}$$

by

$$\psi(f) := \sum_{w \in W_0} (\pi^t_{k,q}(T_{ww_0})f) \otimes v_w,$$
$$\phi\Big(\sum_{w \in W_0} f_w \otimes v_w\Big) := \frac{k_{w_0}^{-1}}{\sum_{w \in W_0} k_w^{-2}} \sum_{w \in W_0} k_w^{-1} f_w.$$

Note that $\phi \circ \psi = \pi^t_{k,q}(C_+)$. Recall from Lemma 2.18 that $\pi^t_{k,q}(C_+)$ restricts to a complex linear map $\pi^t_{k,q}(C_+) : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{U}^{W_0 \times W_0}$. It factorizes through the solutions space $\mathcal{K}^{W_0 \times W_0}$ of the bispectral quantum KZ equations:

Theorem 3.3. **(i)** *ψ restricts to a $\mathbb{F}^{W_0\times W_0}$-linear isomorphism $\psi:\mathcal{S}\overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow}\mathcal{K}^{W_0\times W_0}$.*
(ii) *ϕ restricts to an injective $W_0\times W_0$-equivariant $\mathbb{F}$-linear map $\phi:\mathcal{K}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{U}$.*
(iii) *$\psi_{k^d,q}\circ\iota|_{\mathcal{S}_{k,q}}=\sigma\circ\psi_{k,q}|_{\mathcal{S}_{k,q}}$ and $\phi_{k^d,q}\circ\sigma|_{\mathcal{K}_{k,q}^{W_0\times W_0}}=\iota\circ\phi_{k,q}|_{\mathcal{K}_{k,q}^{W_0\times W_0}}$.*

Proof. **(i)** The analogous statement in the reduced case for the usual quantum affine KZ equations was proved in [43, Thm. 4.9]. Its extension to the nonreduced case is straightforward. The bispectral extension follows by a repetition of the arguments for the dual part of the quantum KZ equations (i.e. the part acting on the second torus component).
(ii) See [31, Thm. 6.16 & Cor. 6.21] for the GL_m-case and [30, Thm. 6.6] for the reduced case (the injectivity follows from the asymptotic analysis of the bispectral quantum KZ equations, which we will also recall in Subsection 3.2). The extension to the nonreduced case is straightforward. An alternative approach is to extend the techniques from [43, §5] to the present bispectral (and nonreduced) setting.
(iii) Using $\eta(T_{ww_0})=T_{w_0w^{-1}}^{-1}$ for $w\in W_0$ it follows that

$$\psi_{k^d,q}(\iota f)=\sigma\big(\sum_{w\in W_0}\pi^{\gamma}_{(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}}(T_{w_0w}^{-1})f\otimes v_w\big)$$

for $f\in\mathbb{K}$. The first part then follows from the observation that

$$\psi_{k,q}(f)=\sum_{w\in W_0}\pi^{\gamma}_{(k^d)^{-1},q^{-1}}(T_{w_0w}^{-1})f\otimes v_w$$

if $f\in\mathcal{S}_{k,q}$, since $\xi(T_{ww_0})=T_{w_0w}^{-1}$ for $w\in W_0$. For the second equality let $f\in\mathcal{K}^{W_0\times W_0}$ and set $g=\psi^{-1}(f)\in\mathcal{S}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\sigma(f))&=\pi^t(C_+)\psi^{-1}(\sigma(f))\\&=\pi^t(C_+)(\iota g)\\&=\iota(\pi^t(C_+)g)\\&=\iota(\phi(f)),\end{aligned}$$

where we use the first part of **(ii)** for the second equality and Lemma 2.18**(iii)** for the third equality. ☐

Corollary 3.4. *$\mathcal{E}_+\in\phi(\mathcal{K}^{W_0\times W_0})$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{E}_+=\pi^t(C_+)\mathcal{E}=\phi(\psi(\mathcal{E}))$ and $\psi(\mathcal{E})\in\mathcal{K}^{W_0\times W_0}$ since $\mathcal{E}\in\mathcal{S}$. ☐

3.2. **Asymptotically free solutions of the bispectral quantum KZ equations.** We recall the results on asymptotically free solutions of the bispectral quantum KZ equations from [31] (GL_m case) and [30] (reduced case). The extension to the nonreduced case presented here follows from straightforward adjustments of the arguments of [31, 30].

Define $W(\cdot,\cdot)=W(\cdot,\cdot;k,q)\in\mathbb{K}$ by

$$W(t,\gamma):=\frac{\vartheta(t(w_0\gamma)^{-1})}{\vartheta(\gamma_0t)\vartheta(\gamma_{0,d}^{-1}\gamma)}.$$

There is some flexibility in the choice of $W(\cdot,\cdot)$. The key properties we need it to satisfy, are the functional equations

$$W(q^{\lambda}t,\gamma)=\gamma_0^{\lambda}\gamma^{w_0\lambda}W(t,\gamma),\qquad \lambda\in\Lambda \tag{3.3}$$

and the selfduality property

$$\iota\bigl(W(\cdot,\cdot;k,q)\bigr)=W(\cdot,\cdot;k^d,q).$$

For $\epsilon>0$ set

$$B_\epsilon:=\{t\in T\mid |t^{\alpha_i}|<\epsilon\quad\forall\, i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}\}$$

and $B_\epsilon^{-1}:=\{t^{-1}\mid t\in B_\epsilon\}$.

Theorem 3.5. *There exists a unique $F(\cdot,\cdot)=F(\cdot,\cdot;k,q)\in\mathcal{K}_{k,q}$ such that $F(t,\gamma)=W(t,\gamma)H(t,\gamma)$ with $H(\cdot,\cdot)=H(\cdot,\cdot;k,q)\in\mathbb{K}\otimes V$ satisfying for $\epsilon>0$ sufficiently small,*

$$H(t,\gamma)=\sum_{\mu,\nu\in Q_+}H_{\mu,\nu}t^{-\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\quad(H_{\mu,\nu}\in V),\qquad H_{0,0}=v_{w_0}$$

for $(t,\gamma)\in B_\epsilon^{-1}\times B_\epsilon$, with the series converging normally.

Proof. See [31, Thm. 5.3] (GL_m case) and [30, Thm. 5.4] (reduced case). The proofs are based on asymptotic analysis of holonomic systems of q-difference equations using classical methods which go back to Birkhoff [2] (see the appendix of [31]). It is straightfoward to extend these results to the nonreduced case. $\square$

For $a\in R^\bullet$ let $n_a(\cdot)=n_a(\cdot;k,q)$ be the rational function

$$n_a(t)=\begin{cases}1-k_a^{-2}t_q^a, & \text{if } 2a\notin R,\\ (1-k_a^{-1}k_{2a}^{-1}t_q^a)(1+k_a^{-1}k_{2a}t_q^a), & \text{if } 2a\in R.\end{cases}$$

Note that $c_a(t;k^{-1},q)=n_a(t;k,q)/n_a(t;\mathbf{1},q)$ for $a\in R^\bullet$, with $\mathbf{1}$ the multiplicity function identically equal to one. Let $\mathrm{num}=\mathrm{num}_q$ and $\mathrm{den}=\mathrm{den}_{k,q}$ be the holomorphic functions on T defined by

$$\mathrm{num}_q(t):=\prod_{\substack{\alpha\in R_0^+,\\ r\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}}n_{\alpha+r\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}c}(t;\mathbf{1},q),\qquad \mathrm{den}_{k,q}(t):=\prod_{\substack{\alpha\in R_0^+,\\ r\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}}n_{\alpha+r\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}c}(t;k,q).$$

We give the key properties of F in the following theorem. The proof follows from straightforward adjustments of the arguments in [31, 30] (which corresponds to the GL_m case and reduced case respectively).

Theorem 3.6. **(i)** *$F\in\mathcal{K}$ is selfdual: $\sigma(F(\cdot,\cdot;k,q))=F(\cdot,\cdot;k^d,q)$.*
(ii) *$\{\nabla(1,w)F\}_{w\in W_0}$ is a $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $\mathcal{K}$.*
(iii) *$T\times T\ni(t,\gamma)\mapsto\mathrm{den}_{k,q}(t^{-1})\mathrm{den}_{k^d,q}(\gamma)H(t,\gamma;k,q)$ is holomorphic.*
(iv) *For $\epsilon>0$ sufficiently small there exist unique holomorphic $\mathcal{V}$-valued functions Υ_μ on T ($\mu\in Q_+$) such that*

$$\mathrm{den}_{k^d,q}(\gamma)H(t,\gamma;k,q)=\sum_{\mu\in Q_+}\Upsilon_\mu(\gamma)t^{-\mu}$$

for $(t,\gamma)\in B_\epsilon^{-1}\times T$*, with the series converging normally.*
(v) $\Upsilon_0(\gamma)=\mathrm{num}_q(\gamma)v_{w_0}$.

From the third part of the theorem we conclude

Corollary 3.7. *Let* $P_{k,q}\subseteq T$ *be the zero locus of* $\mathrm{den}_{k,q}(\cdot)$ *and set* $P_{k,q}^{-1}:=\{t^{-1}\,|\,t\in P_{k,q}\}$*. Then the* $\mathcal{V}$*-valued meromorphic function* $H(\cdot,\cdot;k,q)$ *on* $T\times T$ *is holomorphic on* $T\setminus P_{k,q}^{-1}\times T\setminus P_{k^d,q}$.

In the reduced case,

$$P_{k,q}=\{t\in T\mid t^\alpha\in k_\alpha^2q_\alpha^{-\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}\ \text{ for some }\ \alpha\in R_0^+\}.$$

In the nonreduced case,

$$P_{k,q}=\Big\{t\in T\mid t^\alpha\in\{aq_\theta^{-2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}},bq_\theta^{-2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}},cq_\theta^{-2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}},dq_\theta^{-2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}\}\ \text{ for some }\ \alpha\in R_{0,s}^+ \\ \text{or }t^\beta\in k_\vartheta^2q_\vartheta^{-\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}\ \text{ for some }\ \beta\in R_{0,l}^+\Big\},$$

where

$$\{a,b,c,d\}:=\{k_\theta k_{2\theta},-k_\theta k_{2\theta}^{-1},q_\theta k_0k_{2a_0},-q_\theta k_0k_{2a_0}^{-1}\}\tag{3.4}$$

are the so-called Askey-Wilson parameters.

3.3. **Basic Harish-Chandra series.** Following [31, §6.3] and [30, §7] we have the following fundamental definition.

Definition 3.8. *The selfdual basic Harish-Chandra series* $\Phi(\cdot,\cdot)=\Phi(\cdot,\cdot;k,q)\in\mathcal{U}_{k,q}$ *is defined by*

$$\Phi:=\phi(F)=W\phi(H).\tag{3.5}$$

The properties of F from Theorem 3.6 (singularities, selfduality, leading term) can immediately be transferred to the selfdual basic Harish-Chandra series Φ. In particular, by Theorem 3.3**(iii)** the selfduality of F gives the selfduality of Φ,

$$\iota\big(\Phi(\cdot,\cdot;k,q)\big)=\Phi(\cdot,\cdot;k^d,q).$$

In the derivation of the c-function expansion of the basic hypergeometric function we initially make use of the selfdual basic Harish-Chandra series. To make though the connection to the classical theory more transparent we will reformulate these results in terms of a renormalization of $\Phi(t,\gamma)$ which is closer to the standard normalization of the classical Harish-Chandra series (see the introduction). It is a γ-dependent renormalization of $\Phi(t,\gamma)$, which also depends on a base point $\eta\in T$ (indicating the choice of normalization of the prefactor). This renormalization of Φ breaks though the duality symmetry.

To define the renormalized version of the basic Harish-Chandra series, consider first the renormalization $\widehat{H}(\cdot,\cdot)=\widehat{H}(\cdot,\cdot;k,q)\in\mathbb{K}\otimes\mathcal{V}$ of $H(\cdot,\cdot)$ given by

$$\widehat{H}(t,\gamma):=\frac{\mathrm{den}_{k^d,q}(\gamma)\sum_{w\in W_0}k_w^2}{\mathrm{num}_q(\gamma)}H(t,\gamma).$$

Note that for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$\widehat{H}(t,\gamma) = \sum_{\mu \in Q_+} \widehat{\Upsilon}_\mu(\gamma) t^{-\mu}$$

for $(t,\gamma) \in B_\epsilon^{-1} \times \{\gamma \in T \mid \mathrm{num}_q(\gamma) \neq 0\}$, and $\phi(\widehat{\Upsilon}_0) \equiv 1$.

The monic basic Harish-Chandra series $\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(\cdot,\cdot) = \widehat{\Phi}_\eta(\cdot,\cdot;k,q)$ with generic reference point $\eta \in T$ is now defined by

$$\widehat{\Phi}_\eta := \widehat{W}_\eta \phi(\widehat{H})$$

with prefactor $\widehat{W}_\eta(\cdot,\cdot) = \widehat{W}_\eta(\cdot,\cdot;k,q) \in \mathbb{K}$ defined as follows. Let

$$\rho_s^\vee := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \in R_{0,s}^+} \beta^\vee$$

with $R_{0,s}^+ \subset R_0^+$ the subset of positive short roots. For $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ let $x^{\rho_s^\vee} \in T$ be the torus element $\lambda \mapsto x^{(\rho_s^\vee,\lambda)}$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$). Then $\widehat{W}_\eta$ is defined to be

$$\widehat{W}_\eta(t,\gamma) = \frac{\widehat{W}(t,\gamma)}{\widehat{W}(\eta\gamma_{0,d},\gamma)}$$

with

$$\widehat{W}(t,\gamma) = \frac{\vartheta\big(\gamma_0^{-1}(k_0^{-1}k_{2a_0})^{\rho_s^\vee} t (w_0\gamma)^{-1}\big)}{\vartheta\big((k_0^{-1}k_{2a_0})^{\rho_s^\vee} t\big)}$$

(note that $(k_0^{-1}k_{2a_0})^{\rho_s^\vee} = 1$ in the reduced case). The prefactor $\widehat{W}_\eta(t,\gamma)$ satisfies the same functional equations as function of $t \in T$ as the selfdual prefactor $W(t,\gamma)$,

$$\widehat{W}_\eta(q^\lambda t,\gamma) = \gamma_0^\lambda \gamma^{w_0\lambda} \widehat{W}_\eta(t,\gamma) \qquad \forall\, \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

Corollary 3.9. *Let $\gamma \in T$ such that $\mathrm{num}_q(\gamma) \neq 0$. The monic basic Harish-Chandra series $\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(\cdot,\gamma)$ satisfies the Macdonald q-difference equations*

$$D_p \widehat{\Phi}_\eta(\cdot,\gamma) = p(\gamma^{-1}) \widehat{\Phi}_\eta(\cdot,\gamma) \qquad \forall\, p \in \mathbb{C}[T]^{W_0} \tag{3.6}$$

and has, for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, a normally converging series expansion in $t \in B_\epsilon^{-1}$ of the form

$$\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(t,\gamma) = \widehat{W}_\eta(t,\gamma) \sum_{\mu \in Q_+} \Gamma_\mu(\gamma) t^{-\mu},$$

with $\Gamma_\mu(\gamma) = \phi(\widehat{\Upsilon}_\mu(\gamma))$. In particular, $\Gamma_0(\gamma) = 1$.

Since

$$\widehat{W}_\eta(q^\lambda \eta\gamma_{0,d},\gamma) = \gamma_0^\lambda \gamma^{w_0\lambda} \qquad \forall\, \lambda \in \Lambda, \tag{3.7}$$

the monic basic Harish-Chandra series $\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(\cdot,\gamma)$ is the natural normalization of the basic Harish-Chandra series when restricting the Macdonald q-difference equations (3.6) to the q-lattice $\eta\gamma_{0,d}q^\Lambda$.

Proposition 3.10. *Fix $\lambda \in \Lambda^-$. For generic values of the multiplicity function k we have*

$$\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(t,\gamma_\lambda)=(\eta\gamma_{0,d})^{-w_0\lambda}P_\lambda^+(t). \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Fix $\lambda \in \Lambda^-$. Note that

$$\widehat{W}(t,\gamma_\lambda)=q^{\frac{-|\lambda|^2}{2}}k_0^{(\lambda,\rho_s^\vee)}k_{2a_0}^{-(\lambda,\rho_s^\vee)}t^{w_0\lambda},$$

hence for $t \in B_\epsilon^{-1}$ with $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(t,\gamma_\lambda)=\sum_{\mu\in Q_+}d_\mu t^{w_0\lambda-\mu} \tag{3.9}$$

as normally convergent series, with leading coefficient

$$d_0=(\eta\gamma_{0,d})^{-w_0\lambda} \tag{3.10}$$

(this requires $\mathrm{num}_q(\gamma_\lambda)\neq 0$, which we impose as one of the genericity conditions on the multiplicity function). Since k is generic, this characterizes $\widehat{\Phi}(\cdot,\gamma_\lambda)$ within the class of formal power series $f \in \mathbb{C}[[X^{-\alpha_i}]]X^{w_0\lambda}$ satisfying the eigenvalue equations

$$\big(D_pf\big)(t)=p(\gamma_\lambda^{-1})f(t), \qquad \forall\, p\in\mathbb{C}[T]^{W_0}$$

(cf., e.g., [25, Thm. 4.6]). The result now follows since $f(t)=d_0P_\lambda^+(t)$ satisfies the same characterizing properties. □

Remark 3.11. The explicit evaluation formula [6, §3.3.2] for the symmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomial $P_\lambda^+(\gamma_{0,d})=P_\lambda^+(w_0\gamma_{0,d})=P_\lambda^+(\gamma_{0,d}^{-1})$ ($\lambda\in\Lambda^-$) can be derived from Proposition 3.10 and the fundamental properties of the selfdual basic Harish-Chandra series

$$\Phi(t,\gamma)=\frac{W(t,\gamma)}{\widehat{W}_\eta(t,\gamma)}\frac{\mathrm{num}_q(\gamma)}{\mathrm{den}_{k^d,q}(\gamma)\sum_{w\in W_0}k_w^2}\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(t,\gamma) \tag{3.11}$$

as follows. By a direct computation using Proposition 3.10,

$$\Phi(\gamma_{\mu,d}^{-1},\gamma_\lambda;k,q)=\frac{W(\gamma_{0,d}^{-1},\gamma_0;k,q)}{\sum_{w\in W_0}k_w^2}\gamma_{0,d}^{-\lambda}\frac{\mathrm{num}_q(\gamma_\lambda)}{\mathrm{den}_{k^d,q}(\gamma_\lambda)}P_\lambda^+(\gamma_{\mu,d}^{-1};k,q) \tag{3.12}$$

for $\lambda,\mu\in\Lambda^-$. By the selfduality of Φ and of $W(\cdot,\cdot)$ it is also equal to

$$\Phi(\gamma_\lambda^{-1},\gamma_{\mu,d};k^d,q)=\frac{W(\gamma_{0,d}^{-1},\gamma_0;k,q)}{\sum_{w\in W_0}k_w^2}\gamma_0^{-\mu}\frac{\mathrm{num}_q(\gamma_{\mu,d})}{\mathrm{den}_{k,q}(\gamma_{\mu,d})}P_\mu^+(\gamma_\lambda^{-1};k^d,q). \tag{3.13}$$

Setting $\lambda=\mu=0$ we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{num}_q(\gamma_0)}{\mathrm{den}_{k^d,q}(\gamma_0)}=\frac{\mathrm{num}_q(\gamma_{0,d})}{\mathrm{den}_{k,q}(\gamma_{0,d})}.$$

Setting $\mu=0$ we then get the evaluation formula

$$P_\lambda^+(\gamma_{0,d}^{-1})=\gamma_{0,d}^{\lambda}\frac{\mathrm{num}_q(\gamma_0)}{\mathrm{den}_{k^d,q}(\gamma_0)}\frac{\mathrm{den}_{k^d,q}(\gamma_\lambda)}{\mathrm{num}_q(\gamma_\lambda)}.$$

Returning to (3.12) and (3.13) it yields the well known selfduality

$$E_+(\gamma_\lambda;\gamma_{\mu,d}^{-1};k,q)=E_+(\gamma_{\mu,d},\gamma_\lambda^{-1};k^d,q)\qquad \forall\lambda,\mu\in\Lambda^-$$

of the symmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials. Using $E_+(\gamma_\lambda;t)=E_+(\gamma_{-w_0\lambda};t^{-1})$ and $\gamma_\lambda^{-1}=w_0\gamma_{-w_0\lambda}$ for $\lambda\in\Lambda^-$ the selfduality can be rewritten as

$$E_+(\gamma_\lambda;\gamma_{\mu,d};k,q)=E_+(\gamma_{\mu,d};\gamma_\lambda;k^d,q)\qquad\forall\,\lambda,\mu\in\Lambda^-.$$

4. THE c-FUNCTION EXPANSION

The existence of an expansion of the basic hypergeometric function $\mathcal{E}_+$ in terms of basic Harish-Chandra series follows now readily:

Proposition 4.1. *$\{\Phi(\cdot,w\cdot)\}_{w\in W_0}$ is a $\mathbb{F}$-basis of the subspace $\phi(\mathcal{K})$ of $\mathcal{U}$. Hence there exists a unique $\mathfrak{c}(\cdot,\cdot)=\mathfrak{c}(\cdot,\cdot;k,q)\in\mathbb{F}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma)=\sum_{w\in W_0}\mathfrak{c}(t,w\gamma)\Phi(t,w\gamma). \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Since $\phi:\mathcal{K}\to\mathcal{U}$ is $W_0\times W_0$-equivariant, we have

$$\phi(\nabla(1,w)F)=\Phi(\cdot,w^{-1}\cdot),\qquad w\in W_0.$$

The first statement then follows from Theorem 3.6**(ii)**. By Corollary 3.4 we have

$$\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma)=\sum_{w\in W_0}\mathfrak{c}_w(t,\gamma)\Phi(t,w\gamma)$$

in $\phi(\mathcal{K})\subset\mathcal{U}$ for unique $\mathfrak{c}_w\in\mathbb{F}$ ($w\in W_0$). Since $\mathcal{E}_+$ is $W_0\times W_0$-invariant, $\mathfrak{c}_w(t,\gamma)=\mathfrak{c}_1(t,w\gamma)$ for $w\in W_0$. $\square$

We are now going to derive an explicit expression of the expansion coefficient $\mathfrak{c}\in\mathbb{F}$ in terms of theta functions. As a first step we will single out the t-dependence. The following preliminary lemma is closely related to [7, Thm. 4.1 (i)] (reduced case).

Set

$$\widetilde{\rho}:=\varpi_1+\cdots+\varpi_n\in\Lambda^+.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Fix generic $\gamma\in T$ with $|\gamma^{-\alpha_i}|\leq 1$ for $1\leq i\leq n$. For $\lambda\in\Lambda^-$ define $h_\lambda\in\mathcal{M}(T)$ by*

$$h_\lambda(t):=\gamma_0^{-\lambda}\gamma^{-w_0\lambda}\left(\prod_{\alpha\in R_{0,s}^+}\frac{\left(-q_\theta k_0k_{2a_0}^{-1}t^{-\alpha};q_\theta^2\right)_{-(\lambda,\alpha^\vee)/2}}{\left(-q_\theta k_{2a_0}k_0^{-1}t^{-\alpha};q_\theta^2\right)_{-(\lambda,\alpha^\vee)/2}}\right)\frac{\mathcal{E}_+(q^\lambda t,\gamma)}{G_{k^\tau,q}(t)G_{k^{d\tau},q}(\gamma)}$$

(in the reduced case we have $k_0=k_{2a_0}$, hence in this case the product over $R_{0,s}^+$ is one; in the nonreduced case $(\lambda,\alpha^\vee)$ is even for all $\alpha\in R_{0,s}^+$). Then h_λ is holomorphic on T and

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}h_{-r\widetilde{\rho}}(t)$$

converges to a holomorphic function $h_{-\infty}(t)$ in $t\in T$.

Proof. Observe that

$$\left(\prod_{\alpha\in R_{0,s}^+}\frac{\left(-q_\theta k_0k_{2a_0}^{-1}t^{-\alpha};q_\theta^2\right)_{-(\lambda,\alpha^\vee)/2}}{\left(-q_\theta k_{2a_0}k_0^{-1}t^{-\alpha};q_\theta^2\right)_{-(\lambda,\alpha^\vee)/2}}\right)\frac{G_{k^\tau,q}(q^\lambda t)}{G_{k^\tau,q}(t)}$$

is a regular function in $t\in T$, and $G_{k^\tau,q}(q^\lambda t)^{-1}G_{k^{d\tau},q}(\gamma)^{-1}\mathcal{E}_+(q^\lambda t,\gamma)$ is holomorphic in $(t,\gamma)\in T\times T$. Hence $h_\lambda(t)$ is holomorphic. It remains to show that the $h_\lambda(t)$ ($\lambda\in\Lambda^-$) are uniformly bounded for t in compacta of T. Without loss of generality it suffices to prove uniform boundedness for t in compacta of B_ϵ^{-1} for sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$.

Set

$$\mathcal{F}(t,\gamma):=\frac{\mathcal{E}(t,\gamma)}{G_{k^\tau,q}(t)G_{k^{d\tau},q}(\gamma)},$$

which is the holomorphic part of the nonsymmetric basic hypergeometric function $\mathcal{E}$. For $w\in W_0$ let v_w^* be the $\mathbb{K}$-linear functional on $\mathbb{K}\otimes\mathcal{V}$ mapping $v_{w'}$ to $\delta_{w,w'}$. Recall from Theorem 3.3 that

$$\mathcal{E}_+=\pi^t(C_+)\mathcal{E}=\phi(\psi\mathcal{E})$$

and $\psi\mathcal{E}\in\mathcal{K}$. Hence

$$(\psi\mathcal{E})(q^\lambda t,\gamma)=C_{(\tau(\lambda),1)}(q^\lambda t,\gamma)(\psi\mathcal{E})(t,\gamma),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}h_\lambda(t)=&\frac{k_{w_0}^{-1}}{\sum_{w\in W_0}k_w^{-2}}\prod_{\alpha\in R_{0,s}^+}\frac{\left(-q_\theta k_0k_{2a_0}^{-1}t^{-\alpha};q_\theta^2\right)_{-(\lambda,\alpha^\vee)/2}}{\left(-q_\theta k_{2a_0}k_0^{-1}t^{-\alpha};q_\theta^2\right)_{-(\lambda,\alpha^\vee)/2}}\\&\times\sum_{w,w'\in W_0}k_w^{-1}\bigl(\pi^t(T_{w'w_0})\mathcal{F}\bigr)(t,\gamma)v_w^*\bigl(\gamma_0^{-\lambda}\gamma^{-w_0\lambda}C_{(\tau(\lambda),1)}(q^\lambda t,\gamma)v_{w'}\bigr).\end{aligned}$$

It thus suffices to give bounds for $v_w^*(D_\lambda(t)v_{w'})$ ($\lambda\in\Lambda^-$), uniform for t in compacta of B_ϵ^{-1}, where

$$D_\lambda(t):=\gamma_0^{-\lambda}\gamma^{-w_0\lambda}C_{(\tau(\lambda),1)}(q^\lambda t,\gamma).$$

Recall from Theorem 3.5 the asymptotically free solution $F(\cdot,\cdot)=W(\cdot,\cdot)H(\cdot,\cdot)$ of the bispectral quantum KZ equations. Then

$$\gamma^{w_0\lambda-ww_0\lambda}D_\lambda(t)H_w(t)=H_w(q^\lambda t),\qquad w\in W_0$$

with

$$H_w(t):=\bigl(\nabla(e,w)H\bigr)(t,\gamma)=C_{(1,w)}(t,\gamma)H(t,w^{-1}\gamma)$$

(note that the $C_{(1,w)}(t,\gamma)$ ($w\in W_0$) do not depend on t). Furthermore, writing

$$H_{w'}(t)=\sum_{w\in W_0}a_w^{w'}(t)v_w,$$

the matrix $A(t):=\bigl(a_w^{w'}(t)\bigr)_{w,w'\in W_0}$ is invertible (cf. the proof of [31, Lem. 5.12]) and both $A(t)$ and $A(t)^{-1}$ are uniformly bounded for $t\in B_\epsilon^{-1}$. Writing $N(t,\lambda)$ for the matrix

$\big(v_w^*(D_\lambda(t)v_{w'})\big)_{w,w'\in W_0}$ we conclude that

$$N(t,\lambda)=A(q^\lambda t)M(\lambda)A(t)^{-1},$$

where $M(\lambda)$ is the diagonal matrix $\big(\delta_{w,w'}\gamma^{-w_0\lambda+ww_0\lambda}\big)_{w,w'\in W_0}$. The matrix coefficients of $M(\lambda)$ are bounded as function of $\lambda\in\Lambda^-$ since $|\gamma^{-\alpha_i}|\leq 1$ for all i. This implies the required boundedness conditions for the matrix coefficients of $N(t,\lambda)$. $\square$

Set

$$\mathfrak{c}^\vartheta(t,\gamma;k,q):=\frac{\vartheta(\gamma_0^{-1}(k_0^{-1}k_{2a_0})^{\rho_s^\vee}t(w_0\gamma)^{-1})\vartheta(\gamma_0t)\vartheta(\gamma_{0,d}^{-1}\gamma)\vartheta((k_0^{-1}k_{2a_0})^{\rho_s^\vee}\gamma_{0,d})}{\vartheta((k_{2a_0}k_{2\theta}^{-1})^{\rho_s^\vee}\gamma)\vartheta(t(w_0\gamma)^{-1})\vartheta((k_0^{-1}k_{2a_0})^{\rho_s^\vee}t)} \tag{4.2}$$

Observe that $\mathfrak{c}^\vartheta$ satisfies the functional equations

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{c}^\vartheta(q^\lambda t,\gamma)&=\mathfrak{c}^\vartheta(t,\gamma)\\ \mathfrak{c}^\vartheta(t,q^\lambda\gamma)&=\gamma_{0,d}^{2\lambda}\mathfrak{c}^\vartheta(t,\gamma)\end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda\in\Lambda$. Since $\gamma_0^{-1}\gamma_{0,d}=(k_0k_{2\theta}^{-1})^{\rho_s^\vee}$ we in addition have

$$\mathfrak{c}^\vartheta(\gamma_{0,d},\gamma)=\frac{1}{W(\gamma_{0,d},\gamma)}. \tag{4.3}$$

Corollary 4.3. *The expansion coefficient* $\mathfrak{c}\in\mathbb{F}$ *in* (4.1) *is of the form*

$$\mathfrak{c}(t,\gamma)=\mathfrak{c}^\vartheta(t,\gamma)\mathfrak{c}^\theta(\gamma) \tag{4.4}$$

for a unique $\mathfrak{c}^\theta(\cdot)=\mathfrak{c}^\theta(\cdot;k,q)\in\mathcal{M}(T)$ *satisfying the functional equations*

$$\mathfrak{c}^\theta(q^\lambda\gamma)=\gamma_{0,d}^{-2\lambda}\mathfrak{c}^\theta(\gamma)\qquad\forall\,\lambda\in\Lambda. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. In view of the functional equations of $\mathfrak{c}^\vartheta(t,\gamma)$ in γ it suffices to prove the factorization for generic $t,\gamma\in T$ satisfying $|\gamma^{-\alpha_i}|<1$ for all $1\leq i\leq n$. Since

$$\frac{1}{G_{k^\tau,q}(t)}\prod_{\alpha\in R_{0,s}^+}\frac{\big(-q_\theta k_0k_{2a_0}^{-1}t^{-\alpha};q_\theta^2\big)_\infty}{\big(-q_\theta k_{2a_0}k_0^{-1}t^{-\alpha};q_\theta^2\big)_\infty}=\vartheta\big((k_0^{-1}k_{2a_0})^{\rho_s^\vee}t\big) \tag{4.6}$$

(which is trivial in the reduced case and follows by a direct computation in the nonreduced case) we have

$$\begin{aligned}h_{-\infty}(t)&=\frac{\vartheta((k_0^{-1}k_{2a_0})^{\rho_s^\vee}t)}{G_{k^{d\tau},q}(\gamma)}\sum_{w\in W_0}\mathfrak{c}(t,w\gamma)W(t,w\gamma)\lim_{r\to\infty}\gamma^{r(w_0\widetilde{\rho}-w^{-1}w_0\widetilde{\rho})}(\phi H)(q^{-r\widetilde{\rho}}t,w\gamma)\\&=\frac{\vartheta((k_0^{-1}k_{2a_0})^{\rho_s^\vee}t)\mathfrak{c}(t,\gamma)W(t,\gamma)\mathrm{num}_q(\gamma)}{G_{k^{d\tau},q}(\gamma)\mathrm{den}_{k^d,q}(\gamma)\sum_{w\in W_0}k_w^2}\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

by Theorem 3.6, Proposition 4.1, (3.3), (3.5) and the assumption that $|\gamma^{-\alpha_i}|<1$ for all $1\leq i\leq n$. It follows from this expression that the holomorphic function $h_{-\infty}$ satisfies

$$h_{-\infty}(q^\lambda t)=q^{-\frac{|\lambda|^2}{2}}\big(\gamma_0^{-1}(k_0^{-1}k_{2a_0})^{\rho_s^\vee}t(w_0\gamma)^{-1}\big)^{-\lambda}h_{-\infty}(t)\quad\forall\,\lambda\in\Lambda.$$

Consequently

$$h_{-\infty}(t) = \mathrm{cst}\,\vartheta\big(\gamma_0^{-1}(k_0^{-1}k_{2a_0})^{\rho_s^\vee}t(w_0\gamma)^{-1}\big)$$

for some cst $\in \mathbb{C}$ independent of $t \in T$. Combined with the second line of (4.7) one obtains the desired result. $\square$

The factor $\mathfrak{c}^\vartheta(t,\gamma)$ is highly dependent on our specific choice of (selfdual, meromorphic) prefactor W in the selfdual basic Harish-Chandra series. We will see later that this factor simplifies when considering the expansion of the basic hypergeometric function in terms of the monic basic Harish-Chandra series. In particular it will no longer depend on the first torus variable $t \in T$.

The next step is to compute $\mathfrak{c}^\theta(\gamma) = \mathfrak{c}^\theta(\gamma;k,q)$ explicitly. We will obtain an expression in terms of the (rank one) Jacobi theta function $\theta(\cdot;q)$. Recall that

$$\frac{\mathrm{den}_{k,q}(t)}{\mathrm{num}_q(t)} = \prod_{\substack{\alpha\in R_0^+,\\ r\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}} c_{\alpha+r\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}c}(t;k^{-1},q). \tag{4.8}$$

We define a closely related meromorphic function $c(\cdot) = c_{k,q}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ by

$$c(t) := \prod_{\substack{\alpha\in R_0^+,\\ r\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} c_{-\alpha+r\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}c}(t;k,q). \tag{4.9}$$

An explicit computation yields expressions of both (4.8) and (4.9) in terms of of q-shifted factorials. For $c(t)$ it reads

$$c(t) = \prod_{\alpha\in R_0^+}\frac{\big(k_\alpha^2t^{-\alpha};q_\alpha\big)_\infty}{\big(t^{-\alpha};q_\alpha\big)_\infty} \tag{4.10}$$

in the reduced case and

$$c(t) = \prod_{\alpha\in R_{0,l}^+}\frac{\big(k_\vartheta^2t^{-\alpha};q_\vartheta\big)_\infty}{\big(t^{-\alpha};q_\vartheta\big)_\infty}\prod_{\beta\in R_{0,s}^+}\frac{\big(at^{-\beta},bt^{-\beta},ct^{-\beta},dt^{-\beta};q_\theta^2\big)_\infty}{\big(t^{-2\beta};q_\theta^2\big)_\infty} \tag{4.11}$$

in the nonreduced case, where $R_{0,l}^+ \subset R_0^+$ is the subset of positive long roots and $\{a,b,c,d\}$ are given by (3.4). The product formula of $c_{k^d,q}(\gamma)$ in the reduced case is the q-analog of the Gindikin-Karpelevic [12] product formula of the Harish-Chandra c-function as well as of its extension to the Heckman-Opdam theory (see [16, Part I, Def. 3.4.2]).

Taking the product

$$\frac{\mathrm{den}_{k,q}(t)c_{k,q}(t)}{\mathrm{num}_q(t)}$$

of (4.8) and (4.9), the q-shifted factorials can be pairwise combined to yield the following explicit expression in terms of Jacobi's theta function $\theta(\cdot;q)$.

Lemma 4.4. **(i)** *In the reduced case,*

$$\frac{\mathrm{den}_{k,q}(t)c_{k,q}(t)}{\mathrm{num}_q(t)}=\prod_{\alpha\in R_0^+}\frac{\theta(k_\alpha^2t^{-\alpha};q_\alpha)}{\theta(t^{-\alpha};q_\alpha)}.$$

(ii) *In the nonreduced case,*

$$\frac{\mathrm{den}_{k,q}(t)c_{k,q}(t)}{\mathrm{num}_q(t)}=\prod_{\alpha\in R_{0,l}^+}\frac{\theta(k_\vartheta^2t^{-\alpha};q_\vartheta)}{\theta(t^{-\alpha};q_\vartheta)}\prod_{\beta\in R_{0,s}^+}\frac{\theta(at^{-\beta};q_\theta^2)\theta(bt^{-\beta};q_\theta^2)\theta(ct^{-\beta};q_\theta^2)\theta(dt^{-\beta};q_\theta^2)}{\big(q_\theta^2;q_\theta^2\big)_\infty^3\theta(t^{-2\beta};q_\theta^2)}.$$

In Subsection 3.2 we have seen that

$$\frac{\mathrm{num}_q(\gamma)}{\mathrm{den}_{k^d,q}(\gamma)\sum_{w\in W_0}k_w^2}$$

is the leading coefficient of the power series expansion of $(\phi H)(t,\gamma)$ in the variables $t^{-\alpha_i}$ $(1\leq i\leq n)$. On the other hand it is closely related to the evaluation formula for the symmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials, see Remark 3.11. In the next proposition we show that the meromorphic function $c(t)$ governes the asymptotics of the symmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomial. In the reduced case it is due to Cherednik [7, Lemma 4.3] (for the rank one case see, e.g., [19]).

Proposition 4.5. *For $\epsilon>0$ sufficiently small,*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\gamma_{0,d}^{r\widetilde{\rho}}t^{rw_0\widetilde{\rho}}E_+(\gamma_{-r\widetilde{\rho}};t)=\frac{c(t)}{c(\gamma_{0,d})},\tag{4.12}$$

normally converging for $t\in B_\epsilon^{-1}$.

Proof. The proof in the reduced case (see [7]) consists of analyzing the gauged Macdonald q-difference equations $t^{rw_0\widetilde{\rho}}\circ D_p\circ t^{-rw_0\widetilde{\rho}}$ $(p\in\mathbb{C}[T]^{W_0})$ in the limit $r\to\infty$ and observing that the left and right hand side of (4.12) are the (up to normalization) unique solution of the resulting residual q-difference equations that have a normally convergent series expansion in $t^{-\mu}$ $(\mu\in Q_+)$ for $t\in B_\epsilon^{-1}$. This proof can be straightforwardly extended to the nonreduced case. □

Theorem 4.6. *We have*

$$\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma;k,q)=\sum_{w\in W_0}\mathfrak{c}(t,w\gamma;k,q)\Phi(t,w\gamma;k,q)$$

with $\mathfrak{c}(\cdot,\cdot)=\mathfrak{c}(\cdot,\cdot;k,q)\in\mathbb{F}$ given by

$$\mathfrak{c}(t,\gamma;k,q)=\mathfrak{c}^\vartheta(t,\gamma;k,q)\mathfrak{c}^\theta(\gamma;k,q)\tag{4.13}$$

where $\mathfrak{c}^\vartheta(\cdot,\cdot)=\mathfrak{c}^\vartheta(\cdot,\cdot;k,q)\in\mathcal{M}(T\times T)$ is given by (4.2) and $\mathfrak{c}^\theta(\cdot)=\mathfrak{c}^\theta(\cdot;k,q)\in\mathcal{M}(T)$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{c}^\theta(\gamma;k,q)=\frac{\mathrm{den}_{k^d,q}(\gamma)c_{k^d,q}(\gamma)}{\mathrm{num}_q(\gamma)}\frac{\sum_{w\in W_0}k_w^2}{c_{k^d,q}(\gamma_0)}.\tag{4.14}$$

In view of Lemma 4.4, formula (4.14) provides an explicit expression of $\mathfrak{c}^\theta(\gamma)$ in terms of the rank one theta functions $\theta(\cdot)$.

Proof. Using Lemma 4.4 it is easy to check that the right hand side of (4.14) satisfies the functional equations (4.5). Hence it suffices to prove the explicit expression (4.14) of $\mathfrak{c}^\theta(\gamma)$ for generic $\gamma \in T$ such that $|\gamma^{-\alpha_i}|$ is sufficiently small for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. We fix such γ in the remainder of the proof. Recall the associated holomorphic function $h_\lambda(t)$ in $t \in T$ from Lemma 4.2. Then by Theorem 2.20, using (4.6) for the first equality and Proposition 4.5 for the second equality,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{-\infty}(\gamma_{0,d}) &= \frac{\vartheta\big((k_0^{-1}k_{2a_0})^{\rho_s^\vee}\gamma_{0,d}\big)}{G_{k^{d\tau},q}(\gamma)} \lim_{r\to\infty} \gamma_0^{r\widetilde{\rho}}\gamma^{rw_0\widetilde{\rho}}E_+(\gamma_{-r\widetilde{\rho},d};\gamma;k^d,q) \\ &= \frac{\vartheta\big((k_0^{-1}k_{2a_0})^{\rho_s^\vee}\gamma_{0,d}\big)c_{k^d,q}(\gamma)}{c_{k^d,q}(\gamma_0)G_{k^{d\tau},q}(\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (4.7), Corollary 4.3 and (4.3),

$$h_{-\infty}(\gamma_{0,d}) = \frac{\vartheta((k_0^{-1}k_{2a_0})^{\rho_s^\vee}\gamma_{0,d})\mathrm{num}_q(\gamma)}{G_{k^{d\tau},q}(\gamma)\mathrm{den}_{k^d,q}(\gamma)\sum_{w\in W_0}k_w^2}\mathfrak{c}^\theta(\gamma).$$

Combining these two formulas yields the desired expression for $\mathfrak{c}^\theta(\gamma)$. $\square$

A direct computation using (3.11) gives now the following c-function expansion of the basic hypergeometric function $\mathcal{E}_+$ in terms of the monic basic Harish-Chandra series $\widehat{\Phi}_\eta$.

Corollary 4.7. *For generic $\eta \in T$ we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma;k,q) = \widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma_0;k,q)^{-1}\sum_{w\in W_0}\widehat{c}_\eta(w\gamma;k,q)\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(t,w\gamma;k,q) \tag{4.15}$$

with $\widehat{c}_\eta(\cdot) = \widehat{c}_\eta(\cdot;k,q) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ explicitly given by

$$\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma;k,q) = \frac{\vartheta\big((w_0\eta)^{-1}(k_{2a_0}k_{2\theta}^{-1})^{\rho_s^\vee}\gamma\big)}{\vartheta\big((k_{2a_0}k_{2\theta}^{-1})^{\rho_s^\vee}\gamma\big)}c_{k^d,q}(\gamma).$$

In the rank one case the c-function expansion of $\mathcal{E}_+$ was established by direct computations in [8] (GL_2 case) and in [21, 40] (nonreduced rank one case). We return to the rank one case and establish the connections to basic hypergeometric series in Section 5.

In the reduced case, by (4.10) the coefficient $\widehat{c}_\eta$ explicitly reads

$$\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma) = \frac{\vartheta((w_0\eta)^{-1}\gamma)}{\vartheta(\gamma)}\prod_{\alpha\in R_0^+}\frac{\big(k_\alpha^2\gamma^{-\alpha};q_\alpha\big)_\infty}{\big(\gamma^{-\alpha};q_\alpha\big)_\infty}.$$

By (4.11) an explicit expression of the monic c-function $\widehat{c}_\eta$ can also be given in the nonreduced case, see (5.13) for the resulting expression.

Note that the formula for $\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma)$ simplifies for $\eta = 1$ to

$$\widehat{c}_1(\gamma;k,q) = c_{k^d,q}(\gamma).$$

As remarked in the introduction this shows that $\mathcal{E}_+$ formally is a q-analog of the Heckman-Opdam [15, 16, 34] hypergeometric function.

The η-dependence is expected to be of importance in the applications to harmonic analysis on noncompact quantum groups. For instance, in [20, 21] a selfdual spherical Fourier transform on the quantum $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$ quantum group was defined and studied whose Fourier kernel is given by the nonreduced rank one basic hypergeometric function $\mathcal{E}_+$. The Fourier transform and the Plancherel measure were defined in terms of the Plancherel density function

$$\mu_\eta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma)\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma^{-1})}. \tag{4.16}$$

The extra theta-factors compared to the familiar Macdonald density

$$\mu_1(\gamma) = \frac{1}{c_{k^d,q}(\gamma)c_{k^d,q}(\gamma^{-1})}$$

lead to an infinite set of discrete mass points in the associated (inverse of the) Fourier transform. In its interpretation as spherical Fourier transform these mass points account for the contributions of the strange series representations to the Plancherel measure (the stranges series is a series of irreducible unitary representations of the quantized universal enveloping algebra which vanishes in the limit $q \to 1$, see [32]).

5. Special cases and applications

5.1. **Asymptotics of symmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials.** As a consequence of the c-function expansion we can establish pointwise asymptotics of the symmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials when the degree tends to infinity. The L^2-asymptotics was established in [37] for GL_m, [9] for the reduced case and [10] for the nonreduced case (for the rank one cases see e.g. [19], [11, §7.4 & §7.5] and references therein).

For $\lambda \in \Lambda^-$ set

$$m(\lambda) := \max((\lambda, \alpha_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n) \in \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}.$$

Corollary 5.1. *Fix* $t \in T$ *such that* $\mathrm{den}_{k,q}(wt) \neq 0$ *for all* $w \in W_0$. *Then*

$$E_+(\gamma_\lambda; t; k, q) = \sum_{w\in W_0} \frac{c_{k,q}(wt)}{c_{k,q}(\gamma_{0,d})}\gamma_{0,d}^{\lambda} t^{w^{-1}w_0\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(q^{-m(\lambda)}) \tag{5.1}$$

as $m(\lambda) \to -\infty$.

Proof. By the c-function expansion in selfdual form, Theorem 2.20**(ii)-(iv)**, (3.11) and the expression $\widehat{\Phi}_\eta = \widehat{W}_\eta\phi(\widehat{H})$ we have for $\lambda \in \Lambda^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_+(\gamma_\lambda; t; k, q) &= \mathcal{E}_+(\gamma_\lambda, t; k^d, q) \\ &= \sum_{w\in W_0} \mathfrak{c}(\gamma_\lambda, wt; k^d, q) W(\gamma_\lambda, wt; k^d, q) \frac{\mathrm{num}_q(wt)}{\mathrm{den}_{k,q}(wt)\sum_{v\in W_0} k_v^2}(\phi\widehat{H})(\gamma_\lambda, wt; k^d, q). \end{aligned}$$

The corollary now follows easily from the asymptotic series expansion in $\gamma_\lambda^{-\alpha}$ ($\alpha \in Q_+$) of $(\phi\widehat{H})(\gamma_\lambda, wt, k^d; q)$, together with (3.3), (4.3) and the explicit expression (4.13) of $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{F}$. □

5.2. **The $\mathbf{GL}_m$ case.** We use the notations from Example 2.2**(ii)**. We identify $T \simeq \big(\mathbb{C}^*\big)^m$, taking $t_i := t^{\epsilon_i}$ as the coordinates ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Note that the multiplicity function k is constant (its constant value will be denoted by k). The q-difference operators D_{e_r} associated to the elementary symmetric functions

$$e_r(t) = \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,m\} \\ \#I = r}} \prod_{j \in I} t_j, \qquad 1 \leq r \leq m$$

are known to be Ruijsenaars' [36] quantum Hamiltonians of the relativistic quantum trigonometric Calogero-Moser-Sutherland model,

$$D_{e_r} = \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,m\} \\ \#I = r}} \left(\prod_{i \in I, j \notin J} \frac{k^{-1}t_i - kt_j}{t_i - t_j} \right) \tau\big(\sum_{i \in I} \epsilon_i\big)_q, \qquad 1 \leq r \leq m. \tag{5.2}$$

The monic version of the c-function (Corollary 4.7) thus becomes

$$\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma) = \frac{\vartheta((w_0\eta)^{-1}\gamma)}{\vartheta(\gamma)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \frac{\big(k^2\gamma_j/\gamma_i; q\big)_\infty}{\big(\gamma_j/\gamma_i; q\big)_\infty}.$$

Note that in this case $\vartheta(t)$ can be written in terms of Jacobi's theta function,

$$\vartheta(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta\big(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}t_i; q\big). \tag{5.3}$$

Our results for GL_2 can be matched with the extensive literature on Heine's q-analog of the hypergeometric differential equation (see, e.g., [11, Chpt. 1], [24, §1.7] and [29, §6.3]). It leads to explicit expressions of $E_+(\gamma_\lambda; \cdot)$, $\mathcal{E}_+$, Φ and $\widehat{\Phi}_\eta$ in terms of Heine's basic analog of the hypergeometric function. For completeness we detail this link here.

Heine's q-hypergeometric q-difference equation is

$$\begin{aligned} z(c - abqz)(\mathcal{D}_q^2 u)(z) + \left(\frac{1-c}{1-q} + \frac{(1-a)(1-b) - (1-abq)}{1-q} z \right) (\mathcal{D}_q u)(z) \\ - \frac{(1-a)(1-b)}{(1-q)^2} u(z) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

with

$$(\mathcal{D}_q u)(z) := \frac{u(z) - u(qz)}{(1-q)z}$$

the q-derivative. Note that (5.4) formally reduces to the hypergeometric differential equation

$$z(1-z)u''(z) + (c - (a+b+1)z)u'(z) - abu(z) = 0$$

by replacing in (5.4) the parameters a, b, c by q^a, q^b, q^c and taking the limit $q \to 1$. A distinguished solution of (5.4) is Heine's basic hypergeometric function

$$\phi_H(z) := {}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; q, z\right) \tag{5.5}$$

for $|z| < 1$, where the ${}_{r+1}\phi_r$ basic hypergeometric series [11] is defined by

$$ {}_{r+1}\phi_r\left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{r+1} \\ b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_r \end{matrix}; q, z\right) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\big(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{r+1}; q\big)_j}{\big(q, b_1, \ldots, b_r; q\big)_j} z^j, \tag{5.6}$$

as convergent series for $|z| < 1$ (it can be analytically continued to $z \in \mathbb{C}$).

Note that for GL_2,

$$\widehat{W}(t, \gamma) = \frac{\theta\big(-q^{\frac{1}{2}} k t_1/\gamma_2; q\big)\theta\big(-q^{\frac{1}{2}} t_2/k\gamma_1; q\big)}{\theta\big(-q^{\frac{1}{2}} t_1; q\big)\theta\big(-q^{\frac{1}{2}} t_2; q\big)}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *Fix $\gamma \in T \simeq \big(\mathbb{C}^*\big)^2$. If $u \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}^*)$ satisfies Heine's q-hypergeometric q-difference equation* (5.4) *with the parameters a, b, c given by*

$$a = k^2, \quad b = k^2\gamma_1/\gamma_2, \quad c = q\gamma_1/\gamma_2, \tag{5.7}$$

then the meromorphic function $f(t) := \widehat{W}_\eta(t, \gamma) u(qt_2/k^2 t_1)$ satisfies

$$D_{e_r} f = e_r(\gamma^{-1}) f, \qquad r = 1, 2, \tag{5.8}$$

where the D_{e_r} are the GL_2 *Macdonald-Ruijsenaars q-difference operators. Conversely, if f is a meromorphic solution of* (5.8) *of the form $f(t_1, t_2) = \widehat{W}_\eta(t, \gamma) u(qt_2/k^2 t_1)$ for some $u \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}^*)$, then u satisfies* (5.4) *with parameters a, b, c given by* (5.7).

Proof. Direct computation. □

Corollary 5.3 (GL_2 case)**. (i)** *The normalized symmetric Macdonald polynomial $E_+(\gamma_\lambda; t)$ ($\lambda = \lambda_1\epsilon_1 + \lambda_2\epsilon_2$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$) is given by*

$$E_+(\gamma_\lambda; t) = k^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \frac{\big(q^{1+\lambda_1-\lambda_2}/k^2; q\big)_{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}}{\big(q^{1+\lambda_1-\lambda_2}/k^4; q\big)_{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}} t_1^{\lambda_2} t_2^{\lambda_1} {}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} k^2, q^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \\ q^{1+\lambda_1-\lambda_2}/k^2 \end{matrix}; q, \frac{qt_2}{k^2 t_1}\right). \tag{5.9}$$

(ii) *The monic basic Harish-Chandra series is explicitly given by*

$$\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(t, \gamma) = \widehat{W}_\eta(t, \gamma) {}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} k^2, k^2\gamma_1/\gamma_2 \\ q\gamma_1/\gamma_2 \end{matrix}; q, \frac{qt_2}{k^2 t_1}\right) \tag{5.10}$$

for $|qt_2/k^2 t_1| < 1$.

Proof. **(i)** Consider the solution

$$\phi_H(z) = {}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} k^2, q^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2} \\ q^{1+\lambda_1-\lambda_2}/k^2 \end{matrix}; q, z\right)$$

of the q-hypergeometric q-difference equation (5.4) with parameters a, b, c given by (5.7) and with $\gamma = \gamma_\lambda = (q^{\lambda_1} k^{-1}, q^{\lambda_2} k)$. It is a polynomial in z of degree $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1$ (it is essentially the continuous q-ultraspherical polynomial). In addition, $\widehat{W}(t,\gamma_\lambda)=q^{-\frac{|\lambda|^2}{2}}t_1^{\lambda_2}t_2^{\lambda_1}$. By the previous lemma we conclude that $P_\lambda^+(t)=t_1^{\lambda_2}t_2^{\lambda_1}\phi_H(qt_2/k^2t_1)$, compare with [29, §6.3] and [6, Chpt. 2]. The normalization factor turning $P_\lambda^+(t)$ into the normalized symmetric Macdonald polynomial $E_+(\gamma_\lambda;t)$ can for instance be computed using the q-Vandermonde formula [11, (II.6)].

(ii) In view of the previous lemma, $\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(t,\gamma)=\widehat{W}_\eta(t,\gamma)\phi_H(qt_2/k^2t_1)$ with the parameters a,b,c in ϕ_H given by (5.7) (cf., e.g., [24, §1.7] and [8, Thm. 2.3]). □

From e.g. [24, §1.7],

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) :=&\frac{\theta(a'x;q)}{\theta(x;q)}{}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a', qa'/c' \\ qa'/b' \end{matrix};q,\frac{qc'}{a'b'x}\right)\\ =&\frac{\theta(a'x;q)\big(a',q^2/b'x;q\big)_\infty}{\theta(x;q)\big(qa'/b',qc'/a'b'x;q\big)_\infty}{}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} q/b', qc'/a'b'x \\ q^2/b'x \end{matrix};q,a'\right)\end{aligned}$$

is a solution of Heine's q-hypergeometric q-difference equation (5.4) with respect to the parameters (a',b',c') (the second formula follows from Heine's transformation formula [11, (III.1)]). If $a'c'=qb'$ then

$$\widetilde{v}(x):=\frac{\theta(qx/c';q)}{\theta(x;q)}v(x)$$

becomes a solution of Heine's q-hypergeometric q-difference equation (5.4) with respect to the new parameters $(a,b,c):=(a',a'^2/b',q^2/c')$. Note that $(a',b',c')\mapsto(a',a'^2/b',q^2/c')$ is an involution on the set of triples $(a',b',c')\in\big(\mathbb{C}^*\big)^3$ satisfying $a'c'=qb'$. For the parameters (a,b,c) given by (5.4) (which indeed satisfy $ac=qb$), the transformed parameters become

$$(a',b',c')=(k^2,k^2\gamma_2/\gamma_1,q\gamma_2/\gamma_1),$$

i.e. the role of γ in (5.4) is taken over by $w_0\gamma=(\gamma_2,\gamma_1)$. The resulting solution $\widetilde{v}(x)$ thus yields by Lemma 5.2 a solution of the system (5.8) of GL_2 Macdonald q-difference equations. It coincides, up to a quasi-constant multiple, with the GL_2 basic hypergeometric function $\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma)$:

Proposition 5.4 (GL_2 case)**.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma)=&\frac{\theta(-q^{\frac12}k;q)\theta(-q^{\frac12}k;q)\theta(-q^{\frac12}kt_1/\gamma_2;q)\theta(-q^{\frac12}k\gamma_1/t_2;q)}{\theta(-q^{\frac12}t_1;q)\theta(-q^{\frac12}t_2;q)\theta(-q^{\frac12}\gamma_1;q)\theta(-q^{\frac12}\gamma_2;q)}\\ &\times\frac{\big(k^2,k^2\gamma_2/\gamma_1,k^2t_2/t_1;q\big)_\infty}{\big(k^4,q/k^2,t_2\gamma_2/t_1\gamma_1;q\big)_\infty}{}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} q\gamma_1/k^2\gamma_2, qt_1/k^2t_2 \\ qt_1\gamma_1/t_2\gamma_2\end{matrix};q,k^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The monic GL_2 c-function expansion reads

$$\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma)=\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma_0)^{-1}\big(\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma)\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(t,\gamma)+\widehat{c}_\eta(w_0\gamma)\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(t,w_0\gamma)\big),\tag{5.11}$$

where $w_0\gamma=(\gamma_2,\gamma_1)$ and

$$\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma)=\frac{\theta(-q^{\frac12}\gamma_1/\eta_2;q)\theta(-q^{\frac12}\gamma_2/\eta_1;q)}{\theta(-q^{\frac12}\gamma_1;q)\theta(-q^{\frac12}\gamma_2;q)}\frac{\big(k^2\gamma_2/\gamma_1;q\big)_\infty}{\big(\gamma_2/\gamma_1;q\big)_\infty}.$$

On the other hand, by the three term recurrence relation [11, (III.31)] for ${}_2\phi_1$, with parameters (a,b,c) specialized to (5.7) and z specialized to qt_2/k^2t_1, we get

(5.12)

$$\begin{split}\frac{\theta(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}kt_1/\gamma_2;q)\theta(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}t_2/k\gamma_1;q)}{\theta(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}t_1;q)\theta(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}t_2;q)}\frac{\big(k^2\gamma_2/\gamma_1,k^2t_2/t_1;q\big)_\infty}{\big(t_2\gamma_2/t_1\gamma_1,q/k^2;q\big)_\infty}{}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix}q\gamma_1/k^2\gamma_2,qt_1/k^2t_2\\ qt_1\gamma_1/t_2\gamma_2\end{matrix};q,k^2\right)=\\ =\frac{\big(k^2\gamma_2/\gamma_1;q\big)_\infty}{\big(\gamma_2/\gamma_1;q\big)_\infty}\widehat{W}(\eta\gamma_0,\gamma)\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(t,\gamma)+F(t,\gamma)\frac{\big(k^2\gamma_1/\gamma_2;q\big)_\infty}{\big(\gamma_1/\gamma_2;q\big)_\infty}\widehat{W}(\eta\gamma_0,w_0\gamma)\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(t,w_0\gamma)\end{split}$$

with

$$F(t,\gamma):=\frac{\theta(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}kt_1/\gamma_2;q)\theta(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}t_2/k\gamma_1;q)\theta(k^2\gamma_2/\gamma_1;q)\theta(t_2/t_1;q)}{\theta(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}kt_1/\gamma_1;q)\theta(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}t_2/k\gamma_2;q)\theta(k^2;q)\theta(t_2\gamma_2/t_1\gamma_1;q)}.$$

By the second part of [11, Exerc. 5.13] we have $F\equiv 1$. Comparing with the monic GL_2 c-function expansion (5.11), we conclude that (5.12) is equal to

$$\frac{\theta(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma_1;q)\theta(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma_2)\big(k^4;q\big)_\infty}{\theta(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}k;q)\theta(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}/k;q)\big(k^2;q\big)_\infty}\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma).$$

This gives the desired result. □

Remark 5.5. Some symmetries and properties of $E_+(\gamma_\lambda;\cdot)$ and of $\mathcal{E}_+$ are apparent from the explicit expressions, others relate to well known basic hypergeometric identities. For instance, the polynomial reduction formula

$$\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma_\lambda)=E_+(\gamma_\lambda;t)$$

for $\lambda=\lambda_1\epsilon_1+\lambda_2\epsilon_2$ with $\lambda_i\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda_1\leq\lambda_2$ can be verified on the level of their explicit expressions using Heine's transformation formula [11, (III.1)].

Remark 5.6. In the nonreduced rank one case, the quantum hypergeometric function has been expressed in [40] as a basic hypergeometric series *without* using the c-function expansion. In that case the nonreduced, rank one c-function expansion can be independently verified to be correct using basic hypergeometric series identities (we discuss this in detail in the next subsection). It is likely that the techniques of [40] can be applied in the present GL_2 setup, leading to an alternative proof of Proposition 5.4.

5.3. **The nonreduced case.**

We realize the root system $R_0\subset V_0=V$ of type B_n as $R_0=\{\pm\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n\cup\{\pm(\epsilon_i\pm\epsilon_j)\}_{1\leq i<j\leq n}$, with $\{\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n$ a fixed orthonormal basis of V. We take as ordered basis

$$\Delta_0=(\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2,\ldots,\epsilon_{n-1}-\epsilon_n,\epsilon_n)$$

so that $R_{0,s}^+=\{\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n$, $R_{0,l}^+=\{\epsilon_i\pm\epsilon_j\}_{1\leq i<j\leq n}$ and $\theta=\epsilon_1$, $\vartheta=\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2$. We include $n=1$ as $R_0=\{\pm\epsilon_1\}$, the root system of type A_1 (it amounts to omitting in the formulas below the factors involving the long roots $\{\pm(\epsilon_i\pm\epsilon_j)\}_{i<j}$). We have $\Lambda=Q=\bigoplus_{i=1}^n\mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i$. We identify $T\simeq\big(\mathbb{C}^*\big)^n$, taking $t_i:=t^{\epsilon_i}$ as the coordinates. Note that $q_\theta=q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $q_\vartheta=q$.

The q-difference operator D_p with respect to

$$p(t) := \sum_{i=1}^{n}(t_i + t_i^{-1})$$

was identified with Koornwinder's [23] multivariable extension of the Askey-Wilson second order q-difference operator by Noumi [33]. It can most conveniently be expressed in terms of the Askey-Wilson parameters

$$\{a, b, c, d\} = \{k_\theta k_{2\theta}, -k_\theta k_{2\theta}^{-1}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}k_0 k_{2a_0}, -q^{\frac{1}{2}}k_0 k_{2a_0}^{-1}\}$$

and the dual Askey-Wilson parameters

$$\{\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b}, \widetilde{c}, \widetilde{d}\} = \{k_\theta k_0, -k_\theta k_0^{-1}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}k_{2\theta}k_{2a_0}, -q^{\frac{1}{2}}k_{2\theta}k_{2a_0}^{-1}\}$$

(which are the Askey-Wilson parameters associated to the multiplicity function k^d dual to k) as

$$D_p = \widetilde{a}^{-1}k_\vartheta^{2(1-n)}\big(\mathcal{D} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\big(\widetilde{a}^2 k_\vartheta^{2(2n-i-1)} + k_\vartheta^{2(i-1)}\big)\big)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\big(A_i(t)(\tau(-\epsilon_i)_q - 1) + A_i(t^{-1})(\tau(\epsilon_i)_q - 1)\big),\\ A_i(t) &= \frac{(1-at_i)(1-bt_i)(1-ct_i)(1-dt_i)}{(1-t_i^2)(1-qt_i^2)}\prod_{j\neq i}\frac{(1-k_\vartheta^2 t_i t_j)(1-k_\vartheta^2 t_i t_j^{-1})}{(1-t_i t_j)(1-t_i t_j^{-1})}.\end{aligned}$$

If follows that P_λ^+ ($\lambda \in \Lambda^-$) are the monic symmetric Koornwinder [23] polynomials and $E(\gamma_\lambda; \cdot)$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda^-$) are Sahi's [38] normalized symmetric Koornwinder polynomials.

We now make the (monic version of) the c-function expansion of the associated basic hypergeometric function $\mathcal{E}_+$ more explicit (see Corollary 4.7). First note that $\rho_s^\vee = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i$ and that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_0^{-1} &= \big(\widetilde{a}k_\vartheta^{2(n-1)}, \cdots, \widetilde{a}k_\vartheta^2, \widetilde{a}\big),\\ \gamma_{0,d}^{-1} &= \big(ak_\vartheta^{2(n-1)}, \ldots, ak_\vartheta^2, a\big).\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.7), which is also valid in the present nonreduced setup, we can rewrite the theta factor of $\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma)$ (see Corollary 4.7) as

$$\frac{\vartheta((w_0\eta)^{-1}(k_{2a_0}k_{2\theta}^{-1})^{\rho_s^\vee}\gamma)}{\vartheta((k_{2a_0}k_{2\theta}^{-1})^{\rho_s^\vee}\gamma)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\theta(q\eta_i\gamma_i/\widetilde{d})}{\theta(q\gamma_i/\widetilde{d})}.$$

Using the shorthand notation

$$\big(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_j; q\big)_r := \prod_{i=1}^{j}\big(\alpha_i; q\big)_r,$$

formula (5.3) of the normalized c-function $\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma)$ thus becomes

(5.13)

$$\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma)=\prod_{i=1}^n\frac{\big(\widetilde{a}\gamma_i^{-1},\widetilde{b}\gamma_i^{-1},\widetilde{c}\gamma_i^{-1},\widetilde{d}\gamma_i^{-1}/\eta_i,q\eta_i\gamma_i/\widetilde{d};q\big)_\infty}{\big(\gamma_i^{-2},q\gamma_i/\widetilde{d};q\big)_\infty}\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\frac{\big(k_\vartheta^2\gamma_i^{-1}\gamma_j,k_\vartheta^2\gamma_i^{-1}\gamma_j^{-1};q\big)_\infty}{\big(\gamma_i^{-1}\gamma_j,\gamma_i^{-1}\gamma_j^{-1};q\big)_\infty}.$$

For $n=1$ the c-function expansion (Corollary 4.7) has been proven before using the theory of one-variable basic hypergeometric series in [21, 40]. Important ingredients in the rank one proof of the c-function expansion are the explicit basic hypergeometric series expressions for $\mathcal{E}_+$ and $\widehat{\Phi}_\eta$, which we now recall.

Let $n=1$. The eigenvalue problem

$$\mathcal{D}f=(\widetilde{a}(\gamma+\gamma^{-1})-\widetilde{a}^2-1)f \tag{5.14}$$

of the Askey-Wilson second-order q-difference operator $\mathcal{D}$ has been studied in [18]. Explicit solutions were obtained in terms of the very well poised ${}_8\phi_7$ series

$${}_8W_7(\alpha_0;\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4,\alpha_5;q,z):=\sum_{r=0}^\infty\frac{1-\alpha_0q^{2r}}{1-\alpha_0}z^r\prod_{j=0}^5\frac{\big(\alpha_j;q\big)_r}{\big(q\alpha_0/\alpha_j;q\big)_r},$$

see, e.g., [11]. On the other hand, we already observed that $E_+(\gamma_{-r};\cdot)$ ($r\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}$) is the inversion invariant, Laurent polynomial solution of (5.14) with spectral point $\gamma=\gamma_{-r}$, and that both $\mathcal{E}_+(\cdot,\gamma)$ and $\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(\cdot,\gamma)$ satisfy (5.14). These solutions are related as follows.

Proposition 5.7. *For the nonreduced case with $n=1$, we have*

$$E_+(\gamma_{-r};t)={}_4\phi_3\left(\begin{matrix}q^{-r},q^{r-1}abcd,at,a/t\\ ab,ac,ad\end{matrix};q,q\right),\qquad r\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}, \tag{5.15}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma)=\frac{\Big(\frac{qat\gamma}{\widetilde{d}},\frac{qa\gamma}{\widetilde{d}t},\frac{qa}{d},\frac{q}{ad};q\Big)_\infty}{\Big(\widetilde{a}\widetilde{b}\widetilde{c}\gamma,\frac{q\gamma}{\widetilde{d}},\frac{qt}{d},\frac{q}{dt};q\Big)_\infty}{}_8W_7\Big(\frac{\widetilde{a}\widetilde{b}\widetilde{c}\gamma}{q};at,\frac{a}{t},\widetilde{a}\gamma,\widetilde{b}\gamma,\widetilde{c}\gamma;q,\frac{q}{\widetilde{d}\gamma}\Big) \tag{5.16}$$

for $|q/\widetilde{d}\gamma|<1$, and

(5.17)

$$\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(t,\gamma)=\frac{\theta\big(\frac{ad}{\eta};q\big)\theta\big(\frac{q\widetilde{a}t\gamma}{d};q\big)}{\theta\big(\frac{\widetilde{d}}{\eta\gamma};q\big)\theta\big(\frac{qt}{d};q\big)}\frac{\Big(\frac{qa\gamma}{\widetilde{a}t},\frac{qb\gamma}{\widetilde{a}t},\frac{qc\gamma}{\widetilde{a}t},\frac{q\widetilde{a}\gamma}{dt},\frac{d}{t};q\Big)_\infty}{\Big(\frac{q}{at},\frac{q}{bt},\frac{q}{ct},\frac{q}{dt},\frac{q^2\gamma^2}{dt};q\Big)_\infty}{}_8W_7\Big(\frac{q\gamma^2}{dt};\frac{q\gamma}{\widetilde{a}},\frac{q\gamma}{\widetilde{d}},\widetilde{b}\gamma,\widetilde{c}\gamma,\frac{q}{dt};q,\frac{d}{t}\Big)$$

for $|d/t|<1$.

Proof. **(i)** See [40, Thm. 4.2].
(ii) See [40, Thm. 4.2].
(iii) This follows from [21, §4]. ☐

In the present nonreduced, rank one setting the c-function expansion

$$\mathcal{E}_+(t,\gamma)=\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma_0)^{-1}\big(\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma)\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(t,\gamma)+\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma^{-1})\widehat{\Phi}_\eta(t,\gamma^{-1})\big)$$

with

$$\widehat{c}_\eta(\gamma)=\frac{\big(\widetilde{a}\gamma^{-1},\widetilde{b}\gamma^{-1},\widetilde{c}\gamma^{-1},\widetilde{d}\gamma^{-1}/\eta,q\eta\gamma/\widetilde{d};q\big)_\infty}{\big(\gamma^{-2},q\gamma/\widetilde{d};q\big)_\infty}$$

then becomes a special case of Bailey's three term recurrence relation (see [11, (III.37)]) for very well poised ${}_8\phi_7$-series, which follows by repeating the proof of [21, Prop. 1] (the current formula is more general since we are not restricting to a q-interval).

Remark 5.8. The fact that $\mathcal{E}_+$ and $\widehat{\Phi}_\eta$ are global meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^*\times\mathbb{C}^*$ can be made manifest by expressing the ${}_8W_7$ series as sum of two balanced ${}_4\phi_3$ series using Bailey's formula [11, (III.36)], see for instance formula [21, (3.3)] for $\mathcal{E}_+$ (the basic ${}_{r+1}\phi_r$ series (5.6) is called balanced if $z=q$ and $qa_1a_2\cdots a_{r+1}=b_1b_2\cdots b_r$).

Remark 5.9. The $E_+(\gamma_{-r};\cdot)$ ($r\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) are the well known normalized symmetric Askey-Wilson polynomials (see [1]). By the above proposition, $\mathcal{E}_+$ coincides up to a constant multiple with the Askey-Wilson function from [21]. As already noted at the end of Subsection 4, inversion and Plancherel formulas were derived in [21] for the so called Askey-Wilson function transform, whose kernel is the Askey-Wilson function and whose density measure (and the Plancherel measure) is given in terms of μ_η (4.16). Crucial ingredients for obtaining the Plancherel and inversion formulas are the explicit c-function expansion and the selfduality of the Askey-Wilson function $\mathcal{E}_+$. It is an open problem to generalize these results on generalized Fourier transforms to arbitrary root systems.

Acknowledgment: the author was partially supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) via the VIDI-grant "Symmetry and modularity in exactly solvable models".

References

[1] R. Askey, J. Wilson, *Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalize Jacobi polynomials*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1985), no. 319.

[2] G.D. Birkhoff, *The generalized Riemann problem for linear differential equations and the allied problems for linear difference and q-difference equations*, Proc. Amer. Acad. **49** (1913), 521–568.

[3] I. Cherednik, *Quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and affine root systems*, Comm. Math. Phys. **150** (1992), 109–136.

[4] I. Cherednik, *Double affine Hecke algebras, Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, and Macdonald's operators*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **1992**, no. 9, 171–180.

[5] I. Cherednik, *Difference Macdonald-Mehta conjecture*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **1997**, no. 10, 449–467.

[6] I. Cherednik, *Double affine Hecke algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, **319**. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[7] I. Cherednik, *Whittaker limits of difference spherical functions*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2009**, no. 20, 3793–3842.

[8] I. Cherednik, D. Orr, *One-dimensional nil-DAHA and Whittaker functions I*, arXiv:1104.3918.

[9] J.-F. van Diejen, *Asymptotic analysis of (partially) orthogonal polynomials associated with root systems*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2003**, no. 7, 387–410.

[10] J.-F. van Diejen, *An asymptotic formula for the Koornwinder polynomials*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **178**, no. 1-2 (2005), 465–471.

[11] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **35**, Cambridge University Press (1990).

[12] S. Gindikin, F.I. Karpelevic, *Plancherel measure for symmetric Riemannian spaces of non-positive curvature*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **145** (2962), 252–255.

[13] M. Haiman, *Cherednik algebras, Macdonald polynomials and combinatorics*, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. III, 843–872, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.

[14] Harish-Chandra, *Spherical functions on a semisimple Lie group. I*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 241–310.

[15] G.J. Heckman, E.M. Opdam, *Root systems and hypergeometric functions I*, Compositio Math. **64** (1987), 329–352.

[16] G.J. Heckman, H. Schlichtkrull, *Harmonic Analysis and Special Functions on Symmetric Spaces*, Perspectives in Mathematics, **16**. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.

[17] B. Ion, *Involutions of double affine Hecke algebras*, Compositio Math. **139** (2003), no. 1, 67–84.

[18] M.E.H. Ismail, M. Rahman, *The associated Askey-Wilson polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **328** (1991), 201–237.

[19] M.E.H. Ismail, J.A. Wilson, *Asymptotic and generating relations for the q-Jacobi and* ${}_4\phi_3$ *polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory **36** (1982), 43–54.

[20] E. Koelink, J.V. Stokman, *Fourier transforms on the quantum* $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$ *group*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **37** (2001), no. 4, 621–715.

[21] E. Koelink, J.V. Stokman, *The Askey-Wilson function transform*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2011**, no. 5, 1023–1090.

[22] T.H. Koornwinder, *Jacobi functions as limit cases of q-ultraspherical polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **148** (1990), 44–54.

[23] T.H. Koornwinder, *Askey-Wilson polynomials for root systems of type BC*, in: "Hypergeometric functions on domains of positivity, Jack polynomials, and applications" (Tampa, FL, 1991), 189–204, Contemp. Math., **138**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.

[24] T.H. Koornwinder, *q-Special functions, a tutorial*, arXiv:math/9403216v1.

[25] G. Letzter, J.V. Stokman, *Macdonald difference operators and Harish-Chandra series*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **97**, no. 1 (2008), 60–96.

[26] G. Lusztig, *Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), 599–635.

[27] I.G. Macdonald, *Affine Root Systems and Dedekind's* η*-Function*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), 91–143.

[28] I.G. Macdonald, *Orthogonal polynomials associated with root systems*, Sém. Lothar. Combin. **45** (2000/01), Article B45a, 40pp.

[29] I.G. Macdonald, *Affine Hecke algebras and orthogonal polynomials*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. **157**, Cambridge University Press 2003.

[30] M. van Meer, *Bispectral quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations for arbitrary root systems*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **17** (2011), pp. 193–221.

[31] M. van Meer, J.V. Stokman, *Double affine Hecke algebras and bispectral quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2010**, no. 6, 969–1040.

[32] T. Masuda, K. Mimachi, Y. Nakagami, M. Noumi, Y. Saburi, K. Ueno, *Unitary representations of the quantum group* $\mathrm{SU}_q(1,1)$*: II - Matrix elements of unitary representations and the basic hypergeometric functions*, Lett. Math. Phys. **19** (1990), 195–204.

[33] M. Noumi, *Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials and affine Hecke rings*, in: "Various aspects of hypergeometric functions" (Kyoto, 1994), Surikaisekikenkyusho Kokyuroku No. **895** (1995), 114–124.

[34] E.M. Opdam, *Harmonic analysis for certain representations of graded Hecke algebras*, Acta Math. **175** (1995), no. 1, 75–121.

[35] E.M. Rains, *Transformations of elliptic hypergeometric integrals*, Ann. of Math. (2) **171** (2010), no. 1, 169–243.

[36] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, *Complete integrability of relativistic Calogero-Moser systems and elliptic function identities*, Comm. Math. Phys. **110** (1987), 191–213.

[37] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, *Factorized weight functions vs. factorized scattering*, Comm. Math. Phys. **228** (2002), 467–494.

[38] S. Sahi, *Nonsymmetric Koornwinder polynomials and duality*, Ann. of Math. (2) **150** (1999), no. 1, 267–282.

[39] T.A. Springer, *Reductive groups*, in "Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions" (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1, 3–27, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[40] J.V. Stokman, *An expansion formula for the Askey-Wilson function*, J. Approx. Theory **114** (2002), no. 2, 308–342.

[41] J.V. Stokman, *Koornwinder polynomials and affine Hecke algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2000**, no. 19, 1005–1042.

[42] J.V. Stokman, *Difference Fourier transforms for nonreduced root systems*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **9** (2003), 409–494.

[43] J.V. Stokman, *Quantum affine Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and quantum spherical functions, I*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2011**, no. 5, 1023–1090.

[44] J.V. Stokman, *Macdonald polynomials*, Askey-Bateman project, book chapter for volume 5, "Multivariable special functions" (volume editor: T.H. Koornwinder, general editors: M. Ismail, W. van Assche), Cambridge University Press, arXiv:1111.6112

J.V. Stokman, Korteweg-de Vries Institute for Mathematics, Universiteit van Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

E-mail address: `j.v.stokman@uva.nl`